

INTERTWINING OPERATORS FOR SIEGEL PARABOLICS OVER FINITE FIELDS

NIR ELBER AND HAHN LHEEM

ABSTRACT. We consider degenerate principal series representations $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ over finite fields, where G is a classical group of even rank, and P is the Siegel parabolic subgroup. For example, we show that this representation is multiplicity-free and irreducible for most characters χ . We then discuss a particular intertwining operator I on $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ and its related combinatorics. Firstly, this operator I produces families of diagonalizable antitriangular matrices with well-behaved eigenvalues. Secondly, applying I to a special vector in $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ leads us to various matrix Gauss sums, whose evaluations imply an explicit equidistribution result of the trace and determinant of symmetric and alternating invertible matrices.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Layout	3
1.2. Acknowledgements	3
2. Group-Theoretic Set-Up	4
2.1. Groups and Subgroups	4
2.2. Some Weyl Group Computations	5
2.3. Parabolic Induction	6
2.4. The Intertwining Operator	9
2.5. A Multiplicity One Result	13
3. Computation of Matrix Gauss Sums	16
3.1. Miscellaneous Computations	17
3.2. The Sum Over GL_n	18
3.3. The Sum Over Sym_n^\times	20
3.4. The Sum Over Alt_{2n}^\times	24
4. q -Combinatorial Inputs	27
4.1. A Couple q -Identities	27
4.2. Eigenvalues for Linear Groups	28
4.3. A Helper Matrix	29
4.4. Eigenvalues for Orthogonal Groups	31
4.5. Eigenvalues for Symplectic Groups	32
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

For motivation, we begin by reviewing the doubling method for finite fields as worked out in [Cha96], though we remark that the notation of the introduction thus differs from the notation of the rest of the paper. We refer to [PR87] for the theory over local and global fields. Let q be a prime-power not divisible by 2 or 3, and let $2n$ be a positive even integer. The “doubling method” is a way to define zeta functions and gamma factors to arbitrary irreducible representations π of a classical group; notably, we require no genericity assumption! For the purposes of the introduction, we work with the group $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

The main idea of the doubling method is to embed our classical group of one of the same type and twice the size. Thus, we let $H := \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and we let $P \subseteq H$ denote the Siegel parabolic subgroup of matrices

of the form $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ & D \end{bmatrix}$ where $A, B, D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$. Note that $G \times G$ is able to embed diagonally into P . Then for a character ω of P , one tries to prove a multiplicity one result of the form

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G} \left(\operatorname{Ind}_P^H \omega \otimes \pi \otimes \pi^\vee, \mathbb{C} \right) \stackrel{?}{=} 1.$$

In this paper, we prove the following version of this result. The following theorem follows by combining Propositions 2.3.1 and 2.3.6. In short, we use Gelfand pairs to show that the representation is multiplicity-free, and we use Mackey theory to compute the number of irreducible components.

Theorem 1.0.1. *The representation $\operatorname{Ind}_P^H \omega$ is multiplicity-free. Furthermore, the number of irreducible components equals*

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega^2 \neq 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } \omega^2 = 1 \text{ but } \omega \neq 1, \\ n+1 & \text{if } \omega = 1. \end{cases}$$

Continuing with the doubling method, the multiplicity one result allows one to define a zeta function $Z(f, v, w)$ for π . One would like this zeta function to have a functional equation, so we need a map from $\operatorname{Ind}_P^H \omega$ to a dual version. For this, we define a special intertwining operator $M: \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \omega \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \omega'$ by

$$Mf(g) := \sum_{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}} f \left(\begin{bmatrix} & 1_n \\ -1_n & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & B \\ & 1_n \end{bmatrix} g \right),$$

where $\omega': P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is some other explicitly defined character; for example, one has $\omega' = \omega$. In general, one has that $M \circ M$ is an operator on $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \omega$; when $\omega^2 = 1$, it turns out that $\omega' = \omega$ so that M is an operator on $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \omega$.

This intertwining operator M now provides a functional equation for Z of the form

$$Z(Mf, v, w) = \Gamma(\pi, \omega) Z(f, v, w),$$

where $\Gamma(\pi, \omega)$ is our gamma factor; see [Cha96, Theorem 3.14]. One would like to normalize $\Gamma(\pi, \omega)$, which is typically done by using the functional equation twice to note that $|\Gamma(\pi, \omega)|^2$ should be an eigenvalue of $M \circ M$.

Thus, we are interested in knowing the eigenvalues of M . For most ω , we know that $\operatorname{Ind}_P^H \omega$ is irreducible, so $M \circ M$ must be a scalar anyway. In these cases, one way to proceed is to find a special vector in $\operatorname{Ind}_P^H \omega$ for which one can see directly that it is an eigenvector and compute this eigenvalue; see [Cha96, Section 3.6] for more discussion. Here is our manifestation of this notion. The following result is Proposition 2.5.6.

Theorem 1.0.2. *Fix a nontrivial character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. For each character $\omega: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we define a vector $f_\omega \in \operatorname{Ind}_P^H \omega$ as supported on matrices of the form $p \begin{bmatrix} & -1_n \\ 1_n & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & B \\ & 1_n \end{bmatrix}$ for $p \in P$ with value*

$$f_\omega \left(p \begin{bmatrix} & -1_n \\ 1_n & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & B \\ & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \right) := \omega(p) \psi(\operatorname{tr} B).$$

Then

$$Mf_\omega = \left(\sum_{B \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)} \omega(\det B) \psi(\operatorname{tr} B) \right) f_{\omega'}.$$

Remark 1.0.3. *In fact, even when M fails to be a scalar, we will be able to show that the eigenvalue given by the Gauss sum equals the smallest eigenvalue of M , and it seems to be the case that this eigenvalue has the largest eigenspace in $\operatorname{Ind}_P^H \omega$. This is discussed further in Remark 2.5.9. It would be interesting to explicitly compute (or at least compare) the dimensions of all the eigenspaces of $\operatorname{Ind}_P^H \omega$, but this seems out of reach at the moment.*

Thus, we are motivated to evaluate these Gauss sums. In the case of $H = \operatorname{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the corresponding Gauss sums given as above have been evaluated in [Kim97]. However, considerations of other groups G lead to different sums. For example, $H = \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ leads to a sum over invertible symmetric matrices considered in [Sai91], and $H = \operatorname{O}_{4n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ leads to a sum over invertible alternating matrices (which appears to be new).

We provide evaluations for all of these matrix Gauss sums. Our methods are based on an explicit row-reduction analogous to the Bruhat decomposition methods of [Kim97], but the explicit nature of our exposition allows our proofs to be rather uniform over all the various sums. For example, even though the sum

over invertible symmetric matrices has already been considered in [Sai91], our method seems to be easier to visualize.

Evaluating these Gauss sums also has a combinatorial application: we are able to provide an explicit formula for the number of invertible symmetric, alternating, or general matrices with given trace and determinant. For general invertible matrices, this application is essentially implicit in [Kim97, Theorem 6.2], so we only state results for symmetric and alternating matrices, which appear to be new. The following results follow from Corollaries 3.3.6 and 3.4.6, and they provide an explicit equidistribution result for the trace and determinant.

Theorem 1.0.4. *Fix $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For odd integers $2m + 1$, the number $N(d, t)$ of symmetric $A \in \text{GL}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $(\det A, \text{tr } A) = (d, t)$ is bounded by*

$$\left| N(d, t) - \frac{N}{q(q-1)} \right| \leq q^{m(m+1)}(q-1)^{m+1},$$

where N is the total number of invertible symmetric $(2m+1) \times (2m+1)$ matrices.

Remark 1.0.5. *There is analogous, albeit slightly more complicated, result for even integers $2m$.*

Theorem 1.0.6. *Fix a square $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For even integers $2m$, the number $N(d, t)$ of alternating $A \in \text{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $(\det A, \text{tr } A) = (d, t)$ is bounded by*

$$\left| N(d, t) - \frac{N}{q(q-1)/2} \right| \leq q^{m(m-1)}(q-1)^m,$$

where N is the total number of invertible alternating $2m \times 2m$ matrices.

We now return to our discussion of the eigenvalues of M . We have left to deal with some cases where $\text{Ind}_P^H \omega$ fails to be irreducible. With some care, we are able to write down a matrix representation of M and then compute its eigenvalues. Because it is more interesting, we will consider $\omega = 1$ for the time being. By choosing a “basis” of $\text{Ind}_P^H 1$, we show the following in Proposition 2.4.5 and Theorem 4.2.2.

Theorem 1.0.7. *One can give $\text{Ind}_P^H 1$ an ordered basis so that the operator M on $\text{Ind}_P^H 1$ has matrix given by*

$$\left[(-1)^{i+j-n} q^{n^2-i^2+\binom{i+j-n}{2}} \frac{(q; q)_i^2}{(q; q)_{n-j}^2 (q; q)_{i+j-n}} \right]_{i+j \geq n},$$

where $(a; q)_n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i)$ is the q -Pochhammer symbol; here, $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ are indices, and $i+j \geq n$ indicates that the matrix has 0s when $i+j < n$. This matrix is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues given by

$$\left\{ (-1)^{n-i} q^{\binom{n}{2} + \binom{i+1}{2}} : 0 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

Remark 1.0.8. *Considerations with other classical groups G produces other families of diagonalizable anti-triangular matrices.*

What is remarkable is that we have produced a family of diagonalizable “antitriangular” matrices. We are not aware of any general method to handle such diagonalization problems, and it does not appear clear a priori that the eigenvalues listed above should be so well-behaved. Diagonalizing certain antitriangular (satisfying a “global antidiagonal property”) matrices have combinatorial applications in [BW22], and some aspects of our methods can be considered q -analogues of their arguments, but the analogy is weak. Notably, the family of matrices considered in Theorem 1.0.7 does not satisfy the global antidiagonal property.

1.1. Layout. We quickly explain the outline of the paper. In Section 2, we examine the representation theory of $\text{Ind}_P^H \omega$ and explain where the combinatorial applications arise. In Section 3, we evaluate our matrix Gauss sums and provide the combinatorial applications. Lastly, in Section 4, we provide the diagonalization of our intertwining operator.

1.2. Acknowledgements. This research was conducted during the University of Michigan REU during the summer of 2023; it was funded by the NSF RTG Number Theory and Representation Theory grant. The authors are particularly indebted to his advisors Elad Zelingher and Jialiang Zou for endlessly helpful advice and guidance in many aspects of this paper, from suggestions on the Hecke algebra to a plethora of helpful references. This project could not exist without them. The authors are also grateful to Ofir Gorodetsky for aide in proving certain q -identities used in the article, most notably by explaining how to use the packages

qZeil and qMultiSum. As such, the authors are also grateful to the Research Institute for Symbolic Computation for access to those two packages.

The first author would also like to thank various friends in the undergraduate mathematics department at the University of California at Berkeley, in particular Jad Damaj, Sophie McCormick, and Zain Shields for diverting conversations regarding diagonalizing antitriangular matrices. Lastly, the first author is most thankful to Hui Sun for consistent companionship.

2. GROUP-THEORETIC SET-UP

In this section, we set up the necessary representation theory to proceed with the results in the rest of the paper.

2.1. Groups and Subgroups. Let q be an odd prime-power, and let $2n$ be a positive even integer; for convenience, we will take $3 \nmid q$, but this is used infrequently. Throughout, G will be one of the groups $\{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}, \mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$ over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . To explicate our orthogonal and symplectic groups, we fix

$$\varepsilon := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}, \\ -1 & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad J := \begin{bmatrix} & \varepsilon 1_n \\ 1_n & \end{bmatrix}$$

so that G is defined to preserve the quadratic form J . In the cases where $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\}$, it will be convenient to define $\varepsilon := -1$ as well. Here, the blank entries in J indicate zeroes, a convention that will stay in place for the rest of the article. Throughout, when there are multiple groups G involved, we will use a superscript $(\cdot)^G$; for example, $\varepsilon^{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}} = -1$.

Note that G has split maximal torus T given by the diagonal matrices. The degenerate principal series representations are induced from the Siegel parabolic subgroup

$$P := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ & D \end{bmatrix} \in G \right\},$$

where A, B, D are implicitly in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, a convention that will remain in place for any expression in block matrix form as above. We let $U \subseteq P$ be the unipotent radical of P , and we let $M \subseteq P$ be the Levi subgroup so that $P = M \ltimes U$. Explicitly,

$$U = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & B \\ & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \in G \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ & D \end{bmatrix} \in G \right\}.$$

The various cases of G provide more constraints on these two subgroups. For example, if $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}$, then B above must be alternating; if $G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$, then B above must be symmetric. Similarly, if $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}$, then $\det D = (\det A)^{-1}$; if $G \in \{\mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$, then $D = A^{-\top}$; and if $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\}$, then $D = \lambda A^{-\top}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. A quick computation with the definition of G in the various cases reveals that these are only the constraints.

It will be helpful in the sequel to understand characters of P . In all cases, we are able to define a ‘‘Siegel determinant’’ $\chi_{\det}: P \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ given by

$$\chi_{\det} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ & D \end{bmatrix} \right) := (\det D)^{-1}.$$

In the cases $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\}$, there is an additional ‘‘multiplier’’ $m: P \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ given by

$$\begin{cases} m \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ & D \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det AD & \text{if } G = \mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \\ m \left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda A & B \\ & A^{-\top} \end{bmatrix} \right) := \lambda & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

For the remaining cases of G , we will define m to just be the trivial character. Both χ_{\det} and m are characters by a direct computation. It turns out that these are essentially the only characters.

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a character. Then $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$ for some characters $\alpha, \beta: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. This follows from an explicit computation of $[P, P]$ in all cases. The assumption $3 \nmid q$ is helpful. ■

With a discussion of characters out of the way, we pick up the following notation, which we will use without comment in the sequel.

Notation 2.1.2. Fix a group P and a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. For any representation V of P , we let V^χ denote the subspace of χ -eigenvectors. Explicitly,

$$V^\chi := \{v \in V : pv = \chi(p)v \text{ for all } p \in P\}.$$

2.2. Some Weyl Group Computations. An argument similar to [Mil17, Example 17.88] verifies that the diagonal subgroup T of G is always a maximal torus; namely, one can check that $C_G(T) = T$. Then an argument similar to [Mil17, Example 17.42] verifies that $N_G(T)$ consists of permutation matrices (up to torus elements); alternatively, one can study the Weyl group of the relevant root system and then convert this back into permutation matrices by hand. In any case, we let W denote the Weyl group of G , and we let W_P denote the Weyl group of the Siegel parabolic subgroup P .

It will be useful to explicitly compute these Weyl groups. If $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{SL}_n\}$, then W consists of the permutation matrices up to a sign. For each $w \in W$, we let $\sigma_w \in N_G(T)$ denote the corresponding permutation matrix, and we let $d_w \in T$ be a diagonal matrix with entries in ± 1 such that $\det d_w \sigma_w = 1$. (The choice of d_w will not matter too much in the sequel.) The point is that $\{d_w \sigma_w\}_{w \in W}$ provides a set of representatives for W in G .

We would like a similar description for $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. The following lemma, briefly, determines which permutation matrices actually belong to G , up to a diagonal element.

Lemma 2.2.1. Suppose $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. Let Σ be the set of permutations $\sigma \in S_{2n}$ such that $\sigma(i+n) \equiv \sigma(i) + n \pmod{2n}$ for each i .

- (a) For each w representing a class in W , there exists a unique permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $w = d\sigma$ for some diagonal matrix d .
- (b) For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there exists some diagonal matrix d with entries in $\{\pm 1\}$ such that $d\sigma \in G$.

Proof. Checking (a) is a matter of determining which permutations live in G . Checking (b) comes down to writing down relations between the entries in d enforced by $d\sigma \in G$. For a little more detail (in the case of general Lie groups), see [Kir08, Exercise 7.16]. ■

Remark 2.2.2. For consistency, we provide a convenient choice of signs d_w for $w \in W$. If $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}$, then $\varepsilon = 1$, so $d_w := 1_{2n}$ will always work. If $G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$, then one can put signs d_w on the top-right quadrant of σ_w . Explicitly, we take $d_{\sigma(i)} = -1$ if $i \leq n$ and $\sigma(i) > n$, and we take $d_{\sigma(i)} = 1$ otherwise.

Our benefit to having explicit representatives of W is that we get explicit representatives of certain double quotients. For example, W itself provides representatives of $B \backslash G / B$ by the Bruhat decomposition, where $B \subseteq G$ is a Borel subgroup containing T . We will be interested in $P \backslash G / P$.

Lemma 2.2.3. For each $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, define

$$\eta_r := \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-r} & & \\ & 1_{n-r} & \varepsilon 1_r \\ & & 1_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_n\} \subseteq G$ provides a set of representatives of the double quotients $P \backslash G / P$.

Proof. We define a function $\rho: G \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ by $\rho\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right) := \text{rank } C$. We will show that ρ descends to a bijection $P \backslash G / P \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$, from which the result follows.

Two of the required checks are not so bad. Note that ρ is surjective because $\rho(\eta_r) = r$ for each $r \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Additionally, an expansion of some 2×2 block matrices is able to show that ρ actually descends to a function on $P \backslash G / P$.

It remains to show that $\rho: P \backslash G / P \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ is injective. Unwinding definitions, it is enough to show that we must show that $\rho(g) = r$ implies that $g \in P\eta_r P$. Choosing a Borel subgroup $B \subseteq P$ containing T , we may use the Bruhat decomposition to see that each coset in $B \backslash G / B$ is represented by an element of the Weyl group W . Thus, we may assume that $g = w = d_w \sigma_w$ where $d_w \in T$ and σ_w is a permutation matrix. One now uses the permutations available in P to show that σ_w can be conjugated into η_r . ■

Remark 2.2.4. *The above proof shows that the double cosets*

$$P\eta_r P = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in G : \text{rank } C = r \right\}$$

are all (Zariski) locally closed. In fact, $P\eta_0 P$ is (Zariski) closed, and $P\eta_n P$ is the only (Zariski) open double coset (it is defined by $\det C \neq 0$).

2.3. Parabolic Induction. In the sequel, we will be interested in the representations $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ where $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character. We spend this subsection collecting a few facts about these representations. In particular, we will show that these representations are multiplicity-free and irreducible for “general” χ .

We begin with the generic irreducibility of $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$.

Proposition 2.3.1. *Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Then the dimension of $\text{End}_G \text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ equals*

$$\begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } \beta = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } \beta^2 = 1, \beta \neq 1 \text{ and } G = \text{SL}_{2n}, \\ n+1 & \text{if } \beta^2 = 1, \beta \neq 1 \text{ and } G \in \{\text{O}_{2n}, \text{Sp}_{2n}\}, \\ \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \rfloor & \text{if } \beta^2 = 1, \beta \neq 1 \text{ and } G \in \{\text{GO}_{2n}, \text{GSp}_{2n}\}, \\ 1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is irreducible provided $\beta^2 \neq 1$.

Proof. We use Mackey theory in the form of [Bum13, Theorem 32.1]. Namely, we are interested in computing the dimension of the space \mathcal{H} of functions $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$f(p_1 g p_2) = \chi(p_1) \chi(p_2) f(g)$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and $g \in G$. Thus, any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is uniquely determined by its values on representatives of the double cosets $P \backslash G / P$. As such, we define $f_r \in \mathcal{H}$ to be supported on $P\eta_r P$ defined by $f_r(\eta_r) \in \{0, 1\}$, where we take $f_r(\eta_r) = 1$ provided that this gives a well-defined function in \mathcal{H} . Lemma 2.2.3 implies that $\{f_r : f_r \neq 0\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{H} .

We are left computing the number of r such that $f_r \in \mathcal{H}$ is well-defined with $f_r(\eta_r) = 1$. Fix some r for us to check. After some rearranging, it is enough to check that any $p \in P$ such that $\eta_r p \eta_r^{-1} \in P$ satisfies $\chi(p) = \chi(\eta_r p \eta_r^{-1})$. Writing

$$p := \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & B_1 & B_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 & B_3 & B_4 \\ & & D_1 & D_2 \\ & & D_3 & D_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

to have the same block matrix dimensions as η_r , one can compute that $\eta_r p \eta_r^{-1} \in P$ if and only if $A_3 = B_4 = D_2 = 0$. Thus, $\chi(p) = \chi(\eta_r p \eta_r^{-1})$ is equivalent to always having

$$\chi \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \varepsilon B_2 & B_1 & A_2 \\ & D_4 & \varepsilon D_3 & \\ & & D_1 & \\ & & B_3 & A_4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \stackrel{?}{=} \chi \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & B_1 & B_2 \\ & A_4 & B_3 & \\ & & D_1 & \\ & & D_3 & D_4 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

By expanding out the definition of χ , we find that this is equivalent to

$$\beta(\det A_4) \stackrel{?}{=} \beta(\det D_4),$$

where we take the convention that the “empty” matrix has determinant 1.

- If $r = 0$, then A_4 and D_4 are empty, so the condition holds. Thus, we will take $r > 1$ in the rest of our casework.
- If $\beta = 1$, then the condition holds. Thus, we will take $\beta \neq 1$ in the rest of our casework.
- Take $G = \text{GL}_{2n}$. Because $r > 0$, \det is always surjective, and here there are no conditions on how $\det A_4$ and $\det D_4$ should relate to each other, so the condition never holds.

- Take $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}$. Because $r > 0$, \det will always be surjective. If $r = n$, then the condition $\det p = 1$ becomes $\det A_4 = \det D_4^{-1}$, so we get a contribution in this case only when $\beta^2 = 1$. Otherwise, $r \notin \{0, n\}$, so $\det A_4$ and $\det D_4$ can be arbitrary elements of \mathbb{F}_q^\times (our condition $\det p = 1$ only requires $\det A_1 D_4 D_1 A_4 = 1$), so the condition never holds.
- Take $G \in \{\mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. Then $A_4 = D_4^{-\top}$, so we are requiring $\beta(\det A_4)^2 = 1$. Because \det is surjective when $r > 0$, nonzero r contribute in this case exactly when $\beta^2 = 1$.
- Take $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\}$. Then $A_4 = m(p)D_4^{-\top}$, so we are requiring

$$\beta(\det A_4)^2 = \beta(m(p))^r.$$

With $r > 0$, the values $\det A_4$ and $m(p)$ are arbitrary elements of \mathbb{F}_q^\times , so we would like for $\beta(x)^2 = \beta(y)^r$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Taking $y = 1$ shows that we will only get contributions in this case when $\beta^2 = 1$, and taking $y = 1$ shows that we will only get contributions when $\beta^r = 1$ too. However, with $\beta \neq 1$, we see that $\beta^r = 1$ only happens when r is even.

Tallying the above cases completes the proof. ■

Remark 2.3.2. *In the sequel, we will make frequent use of the basis f_\bullet of \mathcal{H} .*

Even though it is not currently relevant to our discussion, we will want a similar Mackey theory computation in the future, so we will get it out of the way now. This requires a definition.

Definition 2.3.3. *Note that J normalizes M . Thus, for any character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we define the character χ^J as the following composite.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P & \twoheadrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{J} & M & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathbb{C}^\times \\ [{}^A B] & \mapsto & [{}^A D] & \mapsto & [{}^D A] & \mapsto & \chi([{}^D A]) \end{array}$$

Remark 2.3.4. *One can check that $(\chi^J)^J = \chi$. Further, if $\beta = 1$, then one can compute that $\chi^J = \chi$; alternatively, if we only have $\beta^2 = 1$ but $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$ so that $m = 1$, then we still have $\chi^J = \chi$.*

Proposition 2.3.5. *Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Then we compute a basis for $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^{\chi^J}$. In particular, we find*

$$\dim (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^{\chi^J} = \dim (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\chi.$$

Proof. We proceed as in Proposition 2.3.1. For brevity, set $\mathcal{H}_J := (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^{\chi^J}$. Again, $f \in \mathcal{H}_J$ is uniquely determined by its values on representatives of $P \backslash G / P$, so we set $f_r \in \mathcal{H}_J$ to be supported on $P \eta_r P$ defined by $f_r(\eta_r) \in \{0, 1\}$ where we take $f_r(\eta_r) = 1$ whenever possible; thus, $\{f_r : f_r \neq 0\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{H}_J .

Continuing as in Proposition 2.3.1, we are checking which $f_r \in \mathcal{H}_J$ are well-defined with $f_r(\eta_r) = 1$. Rearranging, it is enough to check that if $p \in P$ has $\eta_r p \eta_r^{-1} \in P$, we need $\chi(p) = \chi(\eta_r p \eta_r^{-1})$. Writing

$$p := \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & B_1 & B_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 & B_3 & B_4 \\ & & D_1 & D_2 \\ & & D_3 & D_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

to have the same dimensions as η_r , we can then compute that $\eta_r p \eta_r^{-1} \in P$ if and only if $A_3 = B_4 = D_2 = 0$. Thus, $\chi(p) = \chi^J(\eta_r p \eta_r^{-1})$ is equivalent to always having

$$\chi \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & B_1 & B_2 \\ & A_4 & B_3 & \\ & & D_1 & \\ & & D_3 & D_4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \stackrel{?}{=} \chi^J \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \varepsilon B_2 & B_1 & A_2 \\ & D_4 & \varepsilon D_3 & \\ & & D_1 & \\ & & B_3 & A_4 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

The result now follows from a similar casework on G and r . We will not write out the casework in its entirety because a similar computation is recorded in Proposition 2.3.1. However, we will provide the answers.

- Suppose $G \in \{\mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. If $r = n$, then we always get a contribution; otherwise, we get contributions only when $\beta^2 = 1$.

- Suppose $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}$. We get a contribution when $r = n$ and when $\beta = 1$. Lastly, we also get a contribution when $r = 0$ and $\beta^2 = 1$.
- Suppose $G = \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$. We get a contribution when $r = n$ or when $\beta = 1$ only.
- Suppose $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\}$. We get a contribution when $r = n$ and when $\beta = 1$; otherwise, we get an additional contribution when $\beta^2 = 1$ and $r \equiv n \pmod{2}$.

Tallying the above cases and comparing with Proposition 2.3.1 completes the proof. \blacksquare

We now show that $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is multiplicity-free.

Proposition 2.3.6. *For any character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, the representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is multiplicity-free.*

Proof. Write $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$, as usual. If $\beta^2 \neq 1$, then Proposition 2.3.1 tells us that $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is irreducible. It remains to handle the case where $\beta^2 = 1$. Consider the Hecke algebra \mathcal{H} of functions $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$f(p_1 g p_2) = \chi(p_1) \chi(p_2) f(g).$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and $g \in G$, where product is given by convolution. By [Bum13, Theorem 45.1], it suffices for the Hecke algebra \mathcal{H} to be commutative. We will split this into three cases.

- Take $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}$ where $\chi \neq 1$. We will apply force. Here, $\alpha = 1$, so we still have $\chi^2 = 1$. Then the computation of Proposition 2.3.1 tells us that \mathcal{H} has \mathbb{C} -basis given by the functions $f_0, f_n: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where f_r is supported on $P\eta_r P$ with $f_r(\eta_r) = 1$. To check that \mathcal{H} is commutative, it is enough to verify that $f_0 * f_n = f_n * f_0$. We will do this by explicit computation. It is enough to check that

$$(f_0 * f_n)(\eta_r) \stackrel{?}{=} (f_n * f_0)(\eta_r)$$

for $r \in \{0, n\}$. For $\eta_0 = 1_{2n}$, both convolutions vanish because f_0 and f_n have disjoint supports. For η_n , a similar comparison of supports finds that both sides equal 1.

- Take $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$, except the above case. Again, $\alpha = 1$, so $\chi^2 = 1$. We apply an argument similar to the theory of Gelfand pairs, such as in [Bum13, Theorem 45.2]. Define $\iota: G \rightarrow G$ by $\iota(g) := g^{-1}$. Then $\iota(\iota(g)) = g$, and $\iota(gh) = \iota(h)\iota(g)$ for $g \in G$, and $\chi(\iota(p)) = \chi(p)^{-1} = \chi(p)$ for $p \in P$.¹ Thus, we may define an operator $(\cdot)^\iota: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$f^\iota(g) := f(\iota(g)).$$

Now, $(\cdot)^\iota$ is of course \mathbb{C} -linear, and it can be checked to be anti-commutative from the fact $\iota(gh) = \iota(h)\iota(g)$.

However, we claim that $(\cdot)^\iota$ is in fact the identity map on \mathcal{H} , from which it follows that \mathcal{H} is commutative. Fix some $f \in \mathcal{H}$; we wish to show that $f^\iota = f$. By Lemma 2.2.3, we see that f is uniquely determined by its values on the η_r for $r \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ where $f_r \neq 0$, so it is enough to check that $f(\eta_r^{-1}) = f(\eta_r)$. We can compute

$$f(\eta_r^{-1}) = \chi \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-r} & & & \\ & \varepsilon 1_r & & \\ & & 1_{n-r} & \\ & & & \varepsilon 1_r \end{bmatrix} \right) f(\eta_r).$$

Casework on χ and G verifies that this extra factor goes away.

- Take $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\}$. Let $S := \ker m$ so that $S \in \{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. We will show this case by reducing the claim from G to S . Let \mathcal{H}^S denote the Hecke algebra corresponding to the group S and character $\chi^S := \chi|_S$, and we will set $\mathcal{H}^G := \mathcal{H}$ and $\chi^G := \chi$. We will show that the ring \mathcal{H}^S surjects onto \mathcal{H}^G , which shows that \mathcal{H}^G is commutative.

For each $r \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $f_r^G \in \mathcal{H}^G$ and $f_r^S \in \mathcal{H}^S$ denote the functions on the corresponding group supported on the double coset of η_r with $f_r^\bullet(\eta_r) = 1$ whenever possible. Then the set of nonzero f_r^\bullet forms a basis of \mathcal{H}^\bullet as discussed in the proof of Proposition 2.3.1. In fact, a careful reading of the computation in Proposition 2.3.1 shows that $f_r^G \neq 0$ implies that $f_r^S \neq 0$ for each r , so we may construct a \mathbb{C} -linear surjection $\pi: \mathcal{H}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^G$ by $\pi: f_r^S \mapsto f_r^G$.

¹This last identity crucially requires that $\chi^2 = 1$, which is why we will have to work a little harder when $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\}$.

To complete the proof, we will show that π is multiplicative. Fix indices $r, s, t \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ with $f_r^G, f_s^G, f_t^G \neq 0$, so it is enough to check that

$$(f_r^G * f_s^G)(\eta_t) \stackrel{?}{=} (f_r^S * f_s^S)(\eta_t).$$

Expanding out the convolution, we are being asked to show that

$$\sum_{h \in P^G \backslash G} f_r^G(\eta_t h^{-1}) f_s^G(h) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{h \in P^S \backslash S} f_r^S(\eta_t h^{-1}) f_s^S(h),$$

where $P^G \subseteq G$ and $P^S \subseteq S$ are the Siegel parabolic subgroups. We will show that these two sums are equal term-wise. Note that the number of terms on each side agree because the inclusion $S \subseteq G$ descends to a bijection $P^S \backslash S \rightarrow P^G \backslash G$.

We now show that our sums are equal term-wise. Namely, we want to show that

$$f_r^G(\eta_t h^{-1}) f_s^G(h) \stackrel{?}{=} f_r^S(\eta_t h^{-1}) f_s^S(h)$$

for any $h \in S$. A computation of the supports shows that one side vanishes if and only if the other side vanishes. Otherwise, we may assume that $f_r^S(\eta_t h^{-1}) f_s^S(h) \neq 0$. Then we can write $h = p_1 \eta_s p_2$ and $\eta_t h^{-1} = p'_1 \eta_s p'_2$ for $p_1, p_2, p'_1, p'_2 \in P_S$, and an expansion of the definitions of f_\bullet^S and f_\bullet^G quickly show that both sides are equal. ■

In the sequel, we will be interested in G -invariant operators on $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$, so it will be worth our time to provide a basis of sorts for this space. The main idea is as follows.

Lemma 2.3.7. *Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. For each irreducible subrepresentation π of $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$, there exists exactly one dimension of χ -eigenvectors in π .*

Proof. We are being asked to show that $\dim \text{Hom}_P(\chi, \text{Res}_P^G \pi) = 1$. This follows by combining Proposition 2.3.6 with Frobenius reciprocity. ■

Thus, we note that we can understand operators on $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ by merely understanding where they send a vector from each irreducible subrepresentation. Each irreducible subrepresentation contributes a unique basis element to $(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\times$, so we may just understand how the operator behaves on $(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\times$. Now, $(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\times$ is exactly the underlying vector space of the corresponding Hecke algebra \mathcal{H} , so the computation of Proposition 2.3.1 provides a basis for this space.

2.4. The Intertwining Operator. We are now ready to introduce the main character of our story, which is an operator I on the space $\text{Mor}(G, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Ind}_1^G 1$ defined by

$$(If)(g) := \sum_{u \in U} f(J^{-1}ug).$$

Note that $I: \text{Ind}_1^G 1 \rightarrow \text{Ind}_1^G 1$ is G -invariant. In more typical notation, I is the intertwining operator M_J , where we view J as representing a Weyl group element. As the space $\text{Ind}_1^G 1$ is too large, we are instead interested in the spaces $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ where $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is some character. One can check that I restricts to a G -invariant map $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G \chi^J$.

This article is interested in understanding the linear transformation $I: \text{Ind}_P^G \chi \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G \chi^J$ and in particular the eigenvalues of the operator $I \circ I$. (Note $I \circ I$ is automatically diagonalizable because $\text{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is multiplicity-free by Proposition 2.3.6.) For later use, we would like to expand I out as a matrix using the bases of Lemma 2.3.7, which we see makes I into a linear transformation

$$(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\times \rightarrow (\text{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^\times,$$

both of which have explicit bases by the computations of Propositions 2.3.1 and 2.3.5. Because we are interested in $I \circ I$ as well, we also want to compute the linear transformation

$$(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^\times \rightarrow (\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\times,$$

where we again have explicit bases.

To start, we begin with the easier generic case.

Proposition 2.4.1. *Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Suppose $\beta^2 \neq 1$. Then let $\{f_0\}$ and $\{f_n^J\}$ be the bases of $(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\chi$ and $(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^\chi$ described in Propositions 2.3.1 and 2.3.5, respectively. Then*

$$\begin{cases} If_0 = f_n^J, \\ If_n^J = \beta(\varepsilon)^n |U| f_0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $I \circ I$ is the scalar $\beta(\varepsilon)^n |U|$.

Proof. Certainly $If_0 \in \text{span}\{f_n^J\}$ and $If_n^J \in \text{span}\{f_0\}$. We now do our computations separately.

- For If_0 , we know $If_0 = If_0(\eta_n)f_n$, so we want to compute

$$If_0(\eta_n) = \sum_{u \in U} f_0(J^{-1}u\eta_n).$$

But $P \cap JP\eta_n^{-1} = \{1_{2n}\}$, so the summand vanishes unless $u = 1_{2n}$, hence $If_0(\eta_n) = 1$ follows.

- For If_n^J , we know $If_n^J = If_n^J(\eta_0)f_0$, so we want to compute

$$If_n^J(\eta_0) = \sum_{u \in U} f_n^J(J^{-1}u\eta_0).$$

One may use the P -invariance of f to rearrange the sum into $|U| f_n^J(J^{-1})$. Computing with f_n^J completes the proof. \blacksquare

We now turn towards the case $\beta^2 = 1$. We begin with a general lemma.

Lemma 2.4.2. *Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Given $r, s \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $f_r \in (\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\chi$ (of Proposition 2.3.1) is nonzero, we have*

$$If_r(\eta_s) = \beta(\varepsilon)^{n-s} Q \sum_{\substack{D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{s \times s} \\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1_n & \text{diag}(D, 0_{n-s}) \\ & 1_n \end{smallmatrix} \right] \in G \\ \text{rank } D = r+s-n}} \beta(\det E)^{-1},$$

where

$$Q := \begin{cases} q^{n^2-s^2} & \text{if } G \in \{\text{GL}_{2n}, \text{SL}_{2n}\}, \\ q^{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{s}{2}} & \text{if } G \in \{\text{GO}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n}\}, \\ q^{\binom{n+1}{2}-\binom{s+1}{2}} & \text{if } G \in \{\text{GSp}_{2n}, \text{Sp}_{2n}\}, \end{cases}$$

and $E \in \text{GL}_{r+s-n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is some matrix determined from D (not necessarily uniquely) as follows:

- we always have $\begin{bmatrix} E & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} = D_1 D D_2$ for $D_1, D_2 \in \text{SL}_s(\mathbb{F}_q)$;
- and if $G \in \{\text{GO}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n}\}$, we require $D_2 = D_1^\top$ and $E = \begin{bmatrix} & -1_{(r+s-n)/2} \\ 1_{(r+s-n)/2} & \end{bmatrix}$;
- and if $G \in \{\text{GSp}_{2n}, \text{Sp}_{2n}\}$, we require $D_2 = D_1^\top$ and E to be diagonal.

Proof. We are asked to compute $If_r(\eta_s) = \sum_{u \in U} f_r(J^{-1}u\eta_s)$. For this, we want to compute $J^{-1}u\eta_s$ and in particular want to ask when it lives in $P\eta_r P$. As such, we write u in a block matrix form

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-s} & & A & B \\ & 1_s & C & D \\ & & 1_{n-s} & \\ & & & 1_s \end{bmatrix}$$

and compute

$$J^{-1}u\eta_s = \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} & \varepsilon 1_{n-s} & & \\ & \varepsilon 1_s & & \\ 1_{n-s} & & D & \\ & & & \varepsilon 1_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-s} & B & A & \\ & 1_s & & \\ & & 1_{n-s} & \\ & & \varepsilon C & 1_s \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, χ vanishes on the last rightmost matrix, so we are left with

$$If_r(\eta_s) = Q \sum_{D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{s \times s}} f_r \left(\begin{bmatrix} & & 1_{n-s} \\ & 1_s & \\ \varepsilon 1_{n-s} & & \\ & D & \\ & & 1_s \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

upon replacing D with εD . Now, f_r is supported on $P\eta_r P$, so by Lemma 2.2.3, we see that D gives a nonzero contribution if and only if $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon 1_{n-s} & \\ & D \end{bmatrix} = r$, which is equivalent to $\text{rank } D = r + s - n$, which we will assume from now on. Set $d := \text{rank } D$ for brevity.

We now place D into a normal form; it is not important to do this in a unique way.

- If $G \in \{\text{GL}_{2n}, \text{SL}_{2n}\}$, then we use row-reduction to find matrices $D_1, D_2 \in \text{SL}_s(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $D_1 D D_2$ takes the form $\begin{bmatrix} E & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.
- If $G \in \{\text{GSp}_{2n}, \text{Sp}_{2n}\}$, then D is symmetric, so finding an orthogonal basis grants $D_1 \in \text{SL}_s(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $D_2 := D_1^T$ has $D_1 D D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} E & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where $E \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is diagonal.
- If $G \in \{\text{GO}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n}\}$, then D is alternating, so finding a symplectic basis grants $D_1 \in \text{SL}_s(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $D_2 := D_1^T$ has $D_1 D D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} E & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where $E = \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{d \times d}$.

Using the above normalizations, we may rewrite our summand as

$$f_r \left(\begin{bmatrix} & & 1_{n-s} \\ & 1_s & \\ \varepsilon 1_{n-s} & & \\ & D_1 D D_2 & \\ & & 1_s \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

reducing ourselves from D to $D_1 D D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} E & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We now note that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ E & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon E^{-1} & 1 \\ & E \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & E^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, so the summand equals

$$\beta(\det E)^{-1} f_r \left(\begin{bmatrix} & & 1_{n-s} & \\ & & \varepsilon 1_d & \\ \varepsilon 1_{n-s} & & 1_{n-r} & \\ & 1_d & & \\ & 0_{n-r} & & 1_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

To compute the contribution of this element, it remains to transform the middle matrix into η_r . This is a little tricky. To begin, we factor out $\text{diag}(\varepsilon 1_{n-s}, 1_s, \varepsilon 1_{n-s}, 1_s)$ to see that the summand is

$$\beta(\varepsilon)^{n-s} \beta(\det E)^{-1} f_r \left(\begin{bmatrix} & & \varepsilon 1_r & \\ & 1_{n-r} & & \\ 1_r & & & \\ & & & 1_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

We can now apply a suitable permutation matrix to the above 4×4 block matrix to show that this equals $\beta(\varepsilon)^{n-s} \beta(\det E)^{-1} f_r(\eta_r)$, so summing completes the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 2.4.3. Consider $G \in \{\text{GL}_{2n}, \text{SL}_{2n}\}$ with $\beta \neq 1$. In this case, the value of $\beta(\det E)$ fails to be well-defined given D for most values of r and s , so the sum doesn't even make sense! This corresponds to the fact that we tend to have $f_r = 0$ for most r . A similar phenomenon can be seen for the other groups.

Remark 2.4.4. We explain what happens if we want to compute $If_r^J(\eta_s)$, where $f_r^J \in (\text{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^X$ is the usual basis vector (of Proposition 2.3.5). If $\beta^2 \neq 1$, then we appeal to Proposition 2.4.1. Otherwise, if $\beta^2 = 1$, then the matrix factorizations used above apply verbatim, showing the answer is the same sum.

We are now in a position to write down some matrices when $\beta^2 = 1$. We begin with $\beta = 1$.

Proposition 2.4.5. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Suppose $\beta = 1$ so that $\chi = \chi^J$. Then let $\{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$ be the basis of $(\text{Ind}_P^G \chi)^X$ described in Proposition 2.3.1. For each

$i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, define

$$\varepsilon(i, j) := \begin{cases} (-1)^{i+j-n} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\}, \\ (-1)^{(i+j-n)/2} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}, \\ (-1)^{i+j-n-\lfloor (i+j-n)/2 \rfloor} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Q(i, j) := \begin{cases} q^{n^2-i^2+\binom{i+j-n}{2}} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\}, \\ q^{\binom{n}{2}-\binom{i}{2}+2\binom{(i+j-n)/2}{2}} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}, \\ q^{\binom{n+1}{2}-\binom{i+1}{2}+2\binom{\lfloor (i+j-n)/2 \rfloor+1}{2}} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$R(i, j) := \begin{cases} \frac{(q; q)_i^2}{(q; q)_{n-j}^2 (q; q)_{i+j-n}} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\}, \\ \frac{(q; q)_i}{(q; q)_{n-j} (q^2; q^2)_{(i+j-n)/2}} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}, \\ \frac{(q; q)_i}{(q; q)_{n-j} (q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor (i+j-n)/2 \rfloor}} & \text{if } G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}, \end{cases}$$

where we implicitly take 0s unless $i+j-n$ is nonnegative and unless $i+j-n$ is even when $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}$. Then $[\varepsilon(i, j)Q(i, j)R(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is the matrix representation of I .

Proof. We use Lemma 2.4.2, which applies because the (i, j) matrix coefficient is given by $If_j(\eta_i)$. Because $\beta = 1$, we are just counting the number of possible $D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{i \times i}$ of rank $i+j-n$ maybe with some specified structure. If $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\}$, then we are counting all such matrices, so we appeal to [HJ20, Theorem 7.1.5]. If $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}$, then we are counting alternating matrices, so we appeal to [HJ20, Theorem 7.5.5]. Lastly, if $G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$, then we are counting symmetric matrices, so we appeal to [HJ20, Theorem 7.5.2]. ■

We now turn to the case where $\beta^2 = 1$ but $\beta \neq 1$. For convenience, we explain how to reduce to the case $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$ by taking suitable submatrices.

Lemma 2.4.6. *Take $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\}$ so that $S := \ker m$ is in $\{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Suppose $\beta^2 = 1$ but $\beta \neq 1$. Let $\{f_r^G\}_{r \in A}$ and $\{f_r^{JG}\}_{r \in B}$ the bases of $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\times$ and $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^\times$ described in Propositions 2.3.1 and 2.3.5 respectively; define f_r^S and f_r^{JS} similarly for $\chi|_S$. Further, let $[I^S(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the matrix representation of I on $(\mathrm{Ind}_{Ps}^S \chi)^\times$.*

- The matrix representation of $I^G: (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\times \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^\times$ is

$$[I^S(i, j)]_{\substack{0 \leq i, j \leq n \\ i \in B, j \in A}}.$$

- The matrix representation of $I^G: (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^\times \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\times$ is

$$[I^S(i, j)]_{\substack{0 \leq i, j \leq n \\ i \in A, j \in B}}.$$

Proof. We focus on the proof of the first point. As in Proposition 2.4.5, we see that the $(i, j) \in B \times A$ coefficient of I^\bullet equals $I^\bullet f_j^\bullet(\eta_i)$. But the computation of Lemma 2.4.2 explains that $I^G f_j^G(\eta_i) = I^S f_j^S(\eta_i)$, as required. The proof of the second point is essentially the same upon replacing Lemma 2.4.2 with Remark 2.4.4. ■

Remark 2.4.7. *It is worth recalling A and B . If $G = \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$, then $A = \{0\}$ and $B = \{n\}$. Otherwise if $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\}$, then $A = \{r : r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$ and $B = \{r : r \equiv n \pmod{2}\}$.*

Remark 2.4.8. *Here is a cute application of the above result. Using the notation of the lemma above, we will show that $I^S(i, j) = 0$ if $i \notin B$ but $j \in A$. Indeed, the argument above implies*

$$I^S(i, j) = I^G f_j^G(\eta_i),$$

which vanishes because $f_i^{JG} = 0$. Similarly, we find $I^S(i, j) = 0$ if $i \notin A$ but $j \in B$ by using $If_j^{JG}(\eta_i) = 0$ instead.

We are now ready for our computation.

Proposition 2.4.9. *Take $G \in \{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = \beta \circ \chi_{\det}$. Suppose $\beta^2 = 1$ but $\beta \neq 1$ so that $\chi = \chi^J$. Let $\{f_r\}_{r \in A}$ be the basis of $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^\chi$ described in Proposition 2.3.1.*

- If $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}$ so that $A = \{0, n\}$, then I has the matrix representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta(-1)q^{n^2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- If $G \in \{\mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$ so that $A = \{0, \dots, n\}$, then define

$$\varepsilon(i, j) := \beta(\varepsilon)^{n-i+(i+j-n)/2} (-1)^{(i+j-n)/2},$$

and

$$Q(i, j) := \begin{cases} q^{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{i}{2} + 2\binom{(i+j-n)/2}{2}} & \text{if } G = \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \\ q^{\binom{n+1}{2} - \binom{i+1}{2} + 2\binom{(i+j-n)/2+1}{2} - (i+j-n)/2} & \text{if } G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$R(i, j) := \frac{(q; q)_i}{(q; q)_{n-j} (q^2; q^2)_{(i+j-n)/2}},$$

which vanish unless $i + j - n$ is a nonnegative even integer. Then $[\varepsilon(i, j)Q(i, j)R(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is the matrix representation of I .

Proof. Remark 2.4.8 explains all the vanishing entries. We now handle our groups separately.

- Take $G = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}$. Then it remains to compute $If_0(\eta_n)f_n^J$ and $If_n^J(\eta_0)f_0$.
 - For $If_0(\eta_n)$, Lemma 2.4.2 wants us to sum over $D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ of rank 0, so $D = 0$, yielding 1.
 - For $If_n^J(\eta_0)$, Lemma 2.4.2 applies via Remark 2.4.4. This time, we are summing $D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{0 \times 0}$ of rank 0, so the sum still returns 1, yielding $If_n^J(\eta_0) = \beta(\varepsilon)^n q^{n^2}$.
- Take $G = \mathrm{O}_{2n}$. Using Lemma 2.4.2 as usual, we see $\beta(\det E)^{-1} = \beta(\varepsilon) = 1$ always, so the same argument as in Proposition 2.4.5 goes through.
- Take $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$. Using Lemma 2.4.2 as usual, we see that our sum is the difference between the number of symmetric $D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{i \times i}$ of rank $i + j - n$ with $\det E \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$ and the number of such D with $\det E \notin \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$. The formulae of [Mac69] tell us that the number of such D with $\det E \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$ is

$$\frac{1}{2}N \cdot \frac{q^{(i+j-n)/2} + \beta(-1)^{(i+j-n)/2}}{q^{(i+j-n)/2}}$$

when $i + j - n$ is even, where N is the total number of symmetric matrices $D \in \mathbb{F}_q^{i \times i}$ of rank $i + j - n$. Thus, we see that the desired difference is $\beta(-1)^{(i+j-n)/2} q^{-(i+j-n)/2} N$. Plugging into Lemma 2.4.2 completes the proof. \blacksquare

2.5. A Multiplicity One Result. Our understanding of I so far has relied on eigenvectors of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ with eigenvalue χ (or χ^J). In this subsection, we will use eigenvectors for the smaller subgroup $U \subseteq P$.

Definition 2.5.1. Fix $T \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ and a character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then we define the character $\psi_T: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi_T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_n & B \\ & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \right) := \psi(\mathrm{tr} BT).$$

Example 2.5.2. Fix $T \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ and a character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Given a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, define $f_T \in (\mathrm{Ind}_G^P \chi)^{\psi_T}$ to be supported on $P\eta_n P$ and defined by

$$f_{\chi, T}(p\eta_n u) := \chi(p)\psi_T(u).$$

One can show that any $g \in P\eta_n P$ can be written uniquely in the form $p\eta_n u$ where $p \in P$ and $u \in U$, so this is a well-defined function.

Here is the main result of the present subsection.

Proposition 2.5.3. *Fix $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & T \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G$ and a nontrivial character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. For any character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_U \left(\psi_T, \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi \right) = 1.$$

In other words, $\mathrm{Hom}_U \left(\psi_T, \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi \right)$ is spanned by the $f_{\chi,T}$, defined in Example 2.5.2.

Proof. We use Mackey theory. By Frobenius reciprocity, we are computing the dimension of the space $\mathrm{Hom}_G \left(\mathrm{Ind}_U^G \psi_T, \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi \right)$, which [Bum13, Theorem 32.1] explains is isomorphic to the space \mathcal{H} of functions $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f(pgu) = \chi(p)f(g)\psi_T(u)$$

for $p \in P$ and $u \in U$. We proceed in steps.

- (1) We see that we are interested in the double coset space $P \backslash G / U$. Lemma 2.2.3 tells us that the double cosets $P \backslash G / P$ are represented by $\{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_n\}$. Because $P = MU$, we thus see that the double coset space $P \backslash G / U$ is represented (not uniquely) by the set

$$\{\eta_r d : 0 \leq r \leq n, d \in M\}.$$

For the remainder of the proof, our goal will be to show that $f \in \mathcal{H}$ will have $f(\eta_r d) = 0$ for any $d \in M$ whenever $r \neq n$. This will complete the proof because it shows that any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is supported on $P\eta_n P = P\eta_n U$, meaning that $f = f(\eta_n)f_{\chi,T}$, so $\{f_{\chi,T}\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{H} .

The basic sketch is that we will find various $u \in U$ such that $\eta_r du = p\eta_r d$ for some $p \in P$, which will allow us to show that $f(\eta_r d) = f(\eta_r du)$, but then $f(\eta_r d) \neq 0$ would imply $\psi_T(u) = 1$. Having many such u will allow us to force a full column of T to vanish, violating the hypothesis that T is invertible.

- (2) Fix some η_r and $d \in M$. If $\eta_r du = p\eta_r d$ for some $u \in U$ and $p \in P$, then we claim $\chi(p) = 1$. In other words, we are showing that χ is trivial on any $p \in P \cap \eta_r d U d^{-1} \eta_r^{-1}$. Quickly, note that M normalizes U , so we may reduce to the case $d = 1_{2n}$.

Now, we are given $u \in U$ such that $p := \eta_r u \eta_r^{-1}$ is in P , and we want to show that $\chi(p) = 1$. Well, we expand u as

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-r} & & A & B \\ & 1_r & C & D \\ & & 1_{n-r} & \\ & & & 1_r \end{bmatrix}$$

and then compute

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-r} & \varepsilon B & A & \\ & 1_r & & \\ & & 1_{n-r} & \\ & \varepsilon D & C & 1_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $p \in P$ is equivalent to $D = 0$, which then implies $\chi(p) = 1$.

- (3) Fix some η_r and $d \in M$ such that $r < n$. We claim that there exists $u \in U$ such that $\psi_T(u) \neq 1$ and $\eta_r du = p\eta_r d$ for some $p \in P$. We will proceed more or less by contraposition: we show that having $\psi_T(u) = 1$ for all such u will imply that T fails to be invertible. This is the only step of the proof which will use the invertibility of T and nontriviality of ψ .

The condition on $u \in U$ is that $\eta_r d u d^{-1} \eta_r^{-1} \in P$. Because M normalizes U , our hypothesis is simply that $\eta_r u \eta_r^{-1} \in P$ implies $\psi_T(d^{-1} u d) = 1$; by replacing T with $d T d^{-1}$, we reduce to the case $d = 1_{2n}$. In the computation of the previous step, we found many u with $\eta_r u \eta_r^{-1} \in P$; for example, using $r < n$, we know ψ_T must be trivial on

$$U_1 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & B \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G : B_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i, j > 1 \right\}.$$

We claim that $Te_1 = 0$, which then implies T fails to be invertible. Quickly, we note that $\psi_T(u) = 1$ for $u \in U_1$ is simply asserting

$$1 = \psi(T_{11}B_{11}) + \sum_{i=2}^n \psi(T_{i1}B_{1i}) + \sum_{j=2}^n \psi(T_{1j}B_{j1}).$$

To continue, we will do some casework on G . For example, if $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\}$, then we may set the B_{ij} arbitrarily, provided $i = 1$ or $j = 1$. We would like to show that $T_{i1} = 0$ for all i , so fixing some i , we set all coordinates except B_{1i} to zero so that we know $\psi(T_{i1}B_{1i}) = 1$ for all $B_{1i} \in \mathbb{F}_q$; this successfully implies $T_{i1} = 0$ because ψ is nontrivial. The arguments for other G are essentially the same, except we must keep track of the requirement that T and B are alternating for $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}$ and symmetric for $G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$.

- (4) We now complete the proof. Given some $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we would like to show that $f(\eta_r d) = 0$ whenever $r < 0$. Well, the previous step provides $p \in P$ and $u \in U$ such that $p\eta_r d = \eta_r du$ and $\psi_T(u) \neq 1$. But any such p must have $\chi(p) = 1$ by the second step, so the equation

$$\chi(p)f(\eta_r d) = \psi_T(u)f(\eta_r d)$$

forces $f(\eta_r d) = 0$, as claimed. ■

Remark 2.5.4. *It is possible for no T satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 2.5.3 to exist! Namely, suppose n is odd and $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}$. Then we are asking for T to be an invertible $n \times n$ alternating matrix, which is impossible! However, we can find some T in all other cases.*

This multiplicity-one result means that we can gain insight into $I: \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi^J$ by plugging in $f_{\chi, T}$. This will lead us to evaluate certain matrix Gauss sums.

Definition 2.5.5. *Fix $T \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ and characters $\beta: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then we define the “matrix Gauss sum”*

$$g^G(\beta, \psi, T) := \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & B \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G}} \beta(\det B) \psi(\mathrm{tr} BT).$$

Proposition 2.5.6. *Fix $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & T \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G$ and a nontrivial character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Further, fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Then*

$$If_{\chi, T} = g^G(\beta, \psi, T)f_{\chi^J, T}.$$

Proof. For brevity, let \bar{U} denote the subgroup of $B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & B \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G$, and we let \bar{U}^\times denote the invertible subset. Note that I carries ψ_T -eigenvectors to ψ_T -eigenvectors, so Proposition 2.5.3 tells us that

$$If_{\chi, T} = (If_{\chi, T}(\eta_n)) f_{\chi^J, T}.$$

It remains to evaluate $If_{\chi, T}(\eta_n)$, which we do directly. To begin, note

$$If_{\chi, T}(\eta_n) = \sum_{u \in U} f_{\chi, T}(\eta_n^{-1} u \eta_n).$$

Now, writing $u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & B \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, we see that $\eta_n^{-1} u \eta_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & B \\ \varepsilon B & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, so

$$If_{\chi, T}(\eta_n) = \sum_{B \in \bar{U}} f_{\chi, T} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_n & \\ B & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Because $f_{\chi, T}$ is supported on $P\eta_n U = P\eta_n P$, the proof of Lemma 2.2.3 tells us that $B \in \bar{U}$ produces a nonzero contribution if and only if B is invertible. To compute this contribution, we note $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ B & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon B^{-1} & 1 \\ B & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & B^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, so

$$If_{\chi, T}(\eta_n) = \sum_{B \in \bar{U}^\times} \beta(\det B^{-1}) \psi_T(B^{-1}).$$

Replacing B with B^{-1} completes the proof. ■

Thus, we see that the values of $g^G(\omega, \psi, T)$ will be interesting to us. For example, when $\chi = \chi^J$, we see that $g^G(\beta, \psi, T)$ is an eigenvalue of I . In the general case when merely $I \circ I$ is an operator on $\text{Ind}_G^P \chi$, we get the following.

Corollary 2.5.7. *Fix $T \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & T \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G$ and a nontrivial character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Further, fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Then*

$$(I \circ I)f_{\chi, T} = \beta(-1)^n |g^G(\beta, \psi, T)|^2 f_{\chi, T}.$$

Proof. Applying Proposition 2.5.6 twice, we see that

$$(I \circ I)f_{\chi, T} = g^G(\beta, \psi, T)g^G(\beta^{-1}, \psi, T)f_{\chi, T}.$$

A little rearrangement reveals that the scalar equals $\beta(-1)^n |g^G(\beta, \psi, T)|^2$. ■

Remark 2.5.8. *Suppose $\beta^2 \neq 1$, and we compare the above computation with Proposition 2.4.1. When $G \in \{\text{GL}_{2n}, \text{SL}_{2n}, \text{GSp}_{2n}, \text{Sp}_{2n}\}$, we see that $\varepsilon = -1$, so it follows that*

$$(2.1) \quad |g^G(\beta, \psi, T)|^2 = |U|.$$

The point is that the sum in the definition of $g^G(\beta, \psi, T)$ obeys the expected “square root” cancellation generically. (When $G \in \{\text{GO}_{2n}, \text{O}_{2n}\}$, it may appear that our signs may disagree, but recall from Remark 2.5.4 that the statement is vacuous for odd n .) However, note that (2.1) cannot hold when $\beta^2 = 1$ because $|g^G(\beta, \psi, T)|^2$ is (up to sign) an eigenvalue of $I \circ I$, but in general there need not be such an eigenvalue when $\beta^2 = 1$. We will compute the correct factor in Section 3.

Remark 2.5.9. *When applicable, the above construction produces many linearly independent eigenvectors for our operator I . Indeed, each available T produces a new eigenvector, and one can estimate that*

$$\left| \left\{ T \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G \right\} \right|$$

is only a little less than $|U| = |P \backslash P\eta_n P|$, which is only a little less than $|P \backslash G| = \dim \text{Ind}_P^G \chi$. One can follow these estimates to see that the eigenspace of I with eigenvalue given by the Gauss sum is large.

3. COMPUTATION OF MATRIX GAUSS SUMS

As before, let \mathbb{F}_q denote the finite field with q elements, where q is an odd prime-power. For characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we are interested in computing sums of the form

$$\sum_A \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr } AT),$$

where A and T are possibly subject to certain constraints (e.g., symmetric or alternating). To be explicit, our sums will be done in three cases.

- $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- $\text{Sym}_n^\times(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the set of invertible $n \times n$ symmetric matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q .
- $\text{Alt}_{2n}^\times(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the set of invertible $2n \times 2n$ alternating matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q . (Note that there are no invertible alternating matrices of odd dimension.)

For brevity, we will remove \mathbb{F}_q from our notation as much as possible.

Note that the sum over $A \in \text{GL}_n$ has already been considered by [Kim97] and many authors before; see [Kim97, Section 1]. Additionally, the sum over symmetric matrices was considered in [Sai91]; their method is based on a rather lengthy computation with the Bruhat decomposition. We are under the impression that the sum over alternating matrices is new.

Our method is rather uniform over all kinds of sums considered. We will induct on the size of A via an explicit row-reduction. As such, the arguments are essentially the same as the spirit of the arguments in [Kim97] in the case of GL_n . However, we believe that there is gain to the case of sums of symmetric matrices because the arguments presented are somewhat more direct.

3.1. Miscellaneous Computations. We take a moment to discuss a few sums which will be used frequently in the sequel. For characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we denote the usual Gauss sum by

$$g(\omega, \psi) := \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega(a) \psi(a).$$

It will be helpful to have the following well-known fact about the quadratic Gauss sum. Because the proof is so quick, we include the proof.

Proposition 3.1.1. *Let $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote nontrivial characters. Then*

$$g(\omega, \psi) g(\omega^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) = q.$$

Thus, if $\chi: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denotes the nontrivial quadratic character, then $g(\chi, \psi)^2 = \chi(-1)q$.

Proof. These are standard facts about Gauss sums. ■

The computation of the Gauss sums over Sym_n^\times will use the following fact.

Proposition 3.1.2. *Let $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be characters, and let $\chi: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote the nontrivial quadratic character. Then*

$$\omega(4)g(\omega, \psi)g(\omega\chi, \psi) = g(\omega^2, \psi)g(\chi, \psi).$$

Proof. Expanding out the Gauss sums, we are trying to show that

$$\sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega(4ab) \chi(b) \psi(a+b) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega(a^2) \chi(b) \psi(a+b).$$

Fixing some $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$, it is enough to show that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{a+b=t \\ 4ab=d}} \chi(b) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{\substack{a+b=t \\ a^2=d}} \chi(b)$$

and then sum over all possible values of d and t . At this point, the proof has become combinatorial number theory. For convenience, extend χ to \mathbb{F}_q by $\chi(0) := 0$, and allow $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ in the right-hand sum above; this will not change its value. We begin with some easy cases.

- Suppose that d is not a square. Then the right-hand side of (3.1) is empty and hence zero. On the other hand, we claim that the left-hand side is zero. Well, for any (a, b) solving $a + b = t$ and $4ab = d$, we see that (b, a) also solves the system. Then because d is not a square, we have $\{\chi(a), \chi(b)\} = \{+1, -1\}$, so the terms in the sum cancel out.
- In the rest of the proof, we may assume that $d = x^2$ where $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, so the right-hand side of (3.1) is $\chi(t+x) + \chi(t-x)$.

To continue, observe that solving the system of equations $a + b = t$ and $4ab = d$ is equivalent to having $a = t - b$ and

$$(2b - t)^2 = t^2 - d.$$

As such, for our next case, suppose that $t^2 - d$ fails to be a square. Then the left-hand side of (3.1) is empty and hence vanishes, so we want to show that the right-hand side also vanishes. Well, $t^2 - d = (t+x)(t-x)$ is then not a square, so $\{\chi(t+x), \chi(t-x)\} = \{+1, -1\}$.

- In the rest of the proof, we may assume that $t^2 - d = y^2$ for some $y \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Quickly, we deal with the case where $y = 0$: the left-hand side equals $\chi(t/2)$, and the right-hand side equals $\chi(2t)$.

At the current point, we can now say that $t^2 = x^2 + y^2$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and (3.1) collapses into

$$\chi\left(\frac{t+y}{2}\right) + \chi\left(\frac{t-y}{2}\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \chi(t+x) + \chi(t-x).$$

Because $(t-x)(t+x) = y^2$ and $\left(\frac{t+y}{2}\right)\left(\frac{t-y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}x^2$, we see $\chi\left(\frac{t+y}{2}\right) = \chi\left(\frac{t-y}{2}\right)$ and $\chi(t+x) = \chi(t-x)$. Because, these values are in $\{\pm 1\}$, we see that it is enough to show that $\chi(t+x) = 1$ if and only if $\chi\left(\frac{t+y}{2}\right) = 1$. We will show the forward implication; the reverse implication is similar.

Thus, we want to show $\chi(t+x) = 1$ implies that $\chi\left(\frac{t+y}{2}\right) = 1$. Well, both $t+x$ and $t-x$ are squares; write $t+x = x_1^2$ and $t-x = x_2^2$ for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Adjusting signs, we may assume that $y = x_1x_2$. Thus,

$$\frac{t+y}{2} = \left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right)^2$$

is a square, as desired. \blacksquare

All of our computations will frequently sum over vectors in some way, so we pick up the following fact.

Lemma 3.1.3. *Fix a character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and some $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$. Then*

$$\sum_{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}} \psi(\text{tr } AB) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A \neq 0 \text{ and } \psi \neq 1, \\ q^{mn} & \text{if } A = 0 \text{ or } \psi = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\text{tr } AB = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ji} B_{ij},$$

so

$$\sum_{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}} \psi(\text{tr } AB) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{B_{ij}} \psi(A_{ji} B_{ij}).$$

If $A = 0$ or $\psi = 1$, then all summands are 1, so we total to q^{mn} . Otherwise, say $A_{ij} \neq 0$ for some given (i, j) . Then the factor $\sum_{B_{ij}} \psi(A_{ji} B_{ij})$ in the product will vanish, as desired. \blacksquare

3.2. The Sum Over GL_n . For the purposes of this subsection, we define

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, T) := \sum_{A \in \text{GL}_n} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr } AT)$$

where $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ are characters, and $T \in \text{GL}_n$. Even though our method to compute $g_n(\omega, \psi, T)$ is essentially equivalent to the one presented in [Kim97], we present it here because it provides a reasonable background to the approach.

The following general results will be helpful.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and some $T \in \text{GL}_n$.*

(a) *For any $g, h \in \text{GL}_n$, we have*

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, gTh) = \omega(\det gh)^{-1} g_n(\omega, \psi, T).$$

(b) *If $\psi = 1$, then $g_n(\omega, \psi, T) = 0$ unless $\omega = 1$.*

Proof. Here, (a) follows from some quick rearranging, and (b) follows because $g_n(\omega, \psi, T)$ is the sum of the character ω on the group GL_n . \blacksquare

Our explicit row-reduction is based on two cases: $A_{nn} \neq 0$ and $A_{nn} = 0$. We begin with the case $A_{nn} = 0$ because it is easier.

Lemma 3.2.2. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. If $\psi \neq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \text{GL}_{n+1} \\ A_{n+1, n+1} \neq 0}} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr } A) = q^n g(\omega, \psi) g_n(\omega, \psi, 1_n).$$

Proof. The main idea is that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}_n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times &\rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1} \\ (B \quad , \quad v \quad , \quad w \quad , \quad c) &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & v \\ & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & \\ w^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection onto elements of $A \in \text{GL}_{n+1}$ with nonzero entry $A_{n+1, n+1}$. Our sum becomes

$$\underbrace{\sum_{B \in \text{GL}_n} \omega(\det B) \psi(\text{tr } B)}_{g_n(\omega, \psi, 1_n)} \sum_{c, v, w} \omega(c) \psi(c) \psi(\text{tr } cvw^\top).$$

With $\psi \neq 1$, so we can get cancellation by summing over w by Lemma 3.1.3. In particular, we only get a nonzero contribution when $v = 0$, leaving us with $q^n g_n(\omega, \psi, 1_n) g(\omega, \psi)$ after summing over c as well. ■

We now handle $A_{nn} = 0$. It is mildly more technical because row-reduction still requires some nonzero term in the right column, so we want to rearrange the right column suitably.

Lemma 3.2.3. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Choosing some nonzero $\bar{v}, \bar{w} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+2}$ such that $\bar{v}_{n+2} = \bar{w}_{n+2} = 0$, if $\psi \neq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \text{GL}_{n+2} \\ Ae_{n+2} = \bar{v} \\ A^\top e_{n+2} = \bar{w}}} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr } A) = 0.$$

Proof. We will transform our sum into

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \text{GL}_{n+2} \\ Ae_{n+2} = \bar{v} \\ A^\top e_{n+2} = \bar{w}}} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr } AT),$$

where $\bar{v}_{n+1}, \bar{w}_{n+1} \neq 0$, at the cost of allowing T to be specified a permutation matrix. Well, we may rearrange the coordinates of \bar{v} and \bar{w} so that $\bar{v}_{n+1}, \bar{w}_{n+1} \neq 0$ by replacing A with $\sigma A \tau$ for suitable permutation matrices σ and τ . Looking at the original sum, this does not change $\det A$ (one can add a sign to \bar{v} or \bar{w} if necessary), but it transforms $\text{tr } A$ into $\text{tr } A \sigma \tau$. For brevity, we rewrite $\sigma \tau$ as $T := \sigma$. Note the construction promises that $\sigma(n+2) = n+2$.

The rest of the argument proceeds as before. Write $\bar{v} = (cv, c, 0)$ and $\bar{w} = (dw, w, 0)$ (as a column vector). Then the point is that

$$\text{GL}_n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1} \\ (B \quad , \quad v' \quad , \quad w' \quad , \quad e) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & v & v' \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & e & c \\ & d & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & & \\ w^\top & 1 & \\ (w')^\top & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a bijection onto $A \in \text{GL}_{n+2}$ satisfying $Ae_{n+2} = \bar{v}$.

Now, with $\psi \neq 1$, and we would like our sum to vanish; we have two cases on σ .

- Suppose that $\sigma(n+1) = n+1$. Then we may write σ as $\begin{bmatrix} T_n & \\ & 1_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then our sum looks like

$$\sum_{B \in \text{GL}_n} \omega(\det B) \psi(\text{tr } BT_n) \\ \times \sum_{v', w', e} \omega(-cd) \psi(\text{tr } cv(w')^\top T_n) \psi(\text{tr } dv'w^\top T_n) \psi(\text{tr } ecdvw^\top T_n) \psi(e).$$

By Lemma 3.1.3, we see that the sum over w' will only produce nonzero contribution if $v = 0$. But in this case, the sum over e is just $\sum \psi(e) = 0$, so the total sum vanishes.

- Suppose $\sigma(n+1) \neq n+1$; say $\sigma(i_0) = n+1$ for $i_0 < n+1$. Here, we sum over v' while holding all other variables fixed. The determinant does not depend on v' , so we are left summing over the ψ terms. Only paying attention to v' , we see that we are computing

$$\sum_{v' \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n+2} \psi \left(e_i^\top \begin{bmatrix} dv'w^\top & dv' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} e_{\sigma(i)} \right).$$

We now sum over v'_{i_0} and hold the remaining coordinates v'_\bullet constant. Then the only non-constant factor in the product is $i = i_0$, where $\sigma(i) = n+1$, thus producing the sum $\sum \psi(dv'_{i_0}) = 0$. ■

We now synthesize our cases to evaluate our Gauss sums.

Theorem 3.2.4. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and some $T \in \text{GL}_n$.*

(a) *Suppose $\psi \neq 1$. Then*

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, T) = \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{\omega(\det T)} \cdot g(\omega, \psi)^n.$$

(b) Suppose $\psi = 1$ and $\omega = 1$. Then

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, T) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q^n - q^i).$$

For any (ψ, ω) not in the above list, the sum vanishes.

Proof. Note the last sentence follows by Lemma 3.2.1. Quickly, we note that both (a) and (b) reduce to the case where $T = 1_n$ by Lemma 3.2.1 because both sides are invariant under replacing T by gT for some $g \in \text{GL}_n$. Now, the sum in (b) is simply enumerating GL_n , so the result follows from [HJ20, Proposition 7.1.1].

It remains to show (a). For $n = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Thus, by induction, it is enough to show that

$$g_{n+1}(\omega, \psi, 1_{n+1}) \stackrel{?}{=} q^n g(\omega, \psi) g_n(\omega, \psi, 1_n)$$

for $n \geq 0$, which follows by summing Lemmas 3.2.2 and 3.2.3. \blacksquare

Remark 3.2.5. It is possible to prove (b) in the theorem by tracking the case $(\omega, \psi) = (1, 1)$ through Lemmas 3.2.2 and 3.2.3. We have not done so for brevity.

We close this subsection with a combinatorial application; note there is a similar result in [Kim97, Theorem 6.2].

Corollary 3.2.6. Let n be a nonnegative integer, and fix some $T \in \text{GL}_n$. Further, fix $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then the number $N(d, t)$ of $A \in \text{GL}_n$ such that $\det A = d$ and $\text{tr } AT = t$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q^n - q^i) - q^{n(n-1)/2} (q-1)^n \right) \\ & + q^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot \# \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_n) : (y_1 + \dots + y_n) = t, \frac{y_1 \cdots y_n}{\det T} = d \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we claim that $g_n(\omega, \psi, T)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q^n - q^i) - q^{n(n-1)/2} (q-1)^n \right) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \omega(a) \psi(b) \\ & + \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{\omega(\det T)} \cdot g(\omega, \psi)^n \end{aligned}$$

If $\psi \neq 1$, then this is (a) of Theorem 3.2.4; if $\psi = 1$, then both sides vanish unless $\omega = 1$, in which case this is (b) of Theorem 3.2.4. Now, we notice that full expansion gives

$$\frac{1}{\omega(\det T)} \cdot g(\omega, \psi)^n = \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega\left(\frac{y_1 \cdots y_n}{\det T}\right) \psi(y_1 + \dots + y_n),$$

so the result follows by summing appropriately over all ω and ψ . \blacksquare

3.3. The Sum Over Sym_n^\times . For the purposes of this subsection, we define

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, T) := \sum_{A \in \text{Sym}_n^\times} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr } AT)$$

where $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ are characters, and $T \in \text{Sym}_n^\times$. Additionally, throughout we let $\chi: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote the nontrivial quadratic character.

Anyway, we follow the outline of the previous subsection on GL_n .

Lemma 3.3.1. Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and some $T \in \text{Sym}_n^\times$.

(a) For any $g \in \text{GL}_n$, we have

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, gTg^\top) = \omega(\det g)^{-2} g_n(\omega, \psi, T).$$

(b) If $\psi = 1$ and $\omega \neq 1$, then $g_n(\omega, \psi, T) = 0$ unless $\omega^2 = 1$ and n is even.

Proof. Here, (a) follows by some elementary rearrangement upon noticing that GL_n acts on Sym_n^\times by $g \cdot A = gAg^\top$. For (b), we handle the two listed cases separately.

- Suppose $\omega^2 \neq 1$. Then the rearrangement which shows (a) is able to show that $g_n(\omega, 1, T) = \omega(\det g)^2 g_n(\omega, 1, T)$ for any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ (because $\psi = 1$), so the $g_n(\omega, 1, T) = 0$ follows.
- Suppose n is odd and $\omega^2 = 1$. Now, for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, we see that $A \in \mathrm{Sym}_n^\times$ if and only if $cA \in \mathrm{Sym}_n^\times$, so some rearrangement shows $g_n(\omega, 1, T) = \omega(c)^n g_n(\omega, 1, T)$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. However, n is odd, so $\omega^n = \omega$ is nontrivial, so this forces $g_n(\omega, 1, T) = 0$. ■

As before, our row-reduction will have two cases: $A_{nn} \neq 0$ and $A_{nn} = 0$.

Lemma 3.3.2. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and diagonal $T_{n+1} \in \mathrm{Sym}_{n+1}^\times$. Letting $T_n := \mathrm{diag}(T_{11}, \dots, T_{nn})$, if $\psi \neq 1$, then the sum*

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \mathrm{Sym}_{n+1}^\times \\ A_{n+1, n+1} \neq 0}} \omega(\det A) \psi(\mathrm{tr} AT_{n+1})$$

equals

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, T_n) \frac{\chi(\det T_n) \chi(T_{n+1, n+1})^n}{\omega(T_{n+1, n+1})} g(\omega \chi^n, \psi) g(\chi, \psi)^n.$$

Proof. The main point is that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}_n^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Sym}_{n+1}^\times \\ (B, v, c) & \mapsto & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & \\ & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & v^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

is a bijection onto $A \in \mathrm{Sym}_{n+1}^\times$ with $A_{n+1, n+1} \neq 0$. Thus, our sum is

$$\underbrace{\sum_{B \in \mathrm{Sym}_n^\times} \omega(\det B) \psi(\mathrm{tr} BT_n)}_{g_n(\omega, \psi, T_n)} \sum_{v, c} \omega(c) \psi(\mathrm{tr} cvv^\top T_n) \psi(c T_{n+1, n+1}).$$

Now, for brevity, we set $T := \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_{n+1})$, so the sum over v and c above equals

$$\sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega(c) \psi(cd_{n+1}) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(cd_i a^2) \right)$$

after some expansion (of $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$). Quickly, we note that

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(cd_k a^2) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} (1 + \chi(cd_k a)) \psi(a),$$

where we have extended χ to \mathbb{F}_q by $\chi(0) := 0$; indeed, $(1 + \chi(cd_k a))$ is an appropriate indicator for $a/(cd_k)$ being a square. From here, we note $\psi \neq 1$ implies

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(cd_k a^2) = \chi(cd_k) g(\chi, \psi).$$

Plugging this in, we see that our sum is

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, T_n) \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega(c) \chi(c)^n \psi(cd_{n+1}) \chi(d_1 \cdots d_n) g(\chi, \psi)^n,$$

which rearranges into the desired. ■

Next, we handle $A_{nn} = 0$.

Lemma 3.3.3. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and some diagonal $T_{n+2} \in \mathrm{Sym}_{n+2}^\times$, and let $T_n := \mathrm{diag}(T_{11}, \dots, T_{nn})$. Choosing some nonzero $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+2}$ such that $\bar{v}_{n+2} = 0$, if $\psi \neq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \mathrm{Sym}_{n+2}^\times \\ A e_{n+2} = \bar{v}}} \omega(\det A) \psi(\mathrm{tr} AT) = 0.$$

Proof. We begin by reducing to the case $\bar{v}_{n+1} \neq 0$. We want to rearrange the coordinates of \bar{v} so that $v_{n+1} \neq 0$ by mapping $A \mapsto \sigma^{-1}A\sigma$ for suitable permutation matrix σ . This does not change $\det A$, but it transforms $\text{tr } AT$ into $\text{tr } A\sigma T\sigma^{-1}$, effectively rearranging the rows and columns of T into a different diagonal matrix. However, the conclusion is independent of T , so this rearrangement is legal.

We may now row-reduce. Write $\bar{v} = (cv, c, 0)$ (as a column vector). The main point is that there is a bijection

$$\text{Sym}_n^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{n+2}^\times \\ (B, w, d) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v & w \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & & \\ & d & c \\ & c & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ v^\top & 1 & \\ w^\top & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

onto the set of $A \in \text{Sym}_{n+2}^\times$ such that $Ae_{n+2} = \bar{v}$. Thus, we see that our sum is

$$\sum_B \omega(-c^2 \det B) \psi(\text{tr } BT_n) \\ \times \sum_d \psi(dT_{nn} + d \text{tr } vv^\top T_n) \sum_w \psi(2c \text{tr } vw^\top T_n).$$

With $\psi \neq 1$, we see that the sum over w vanishes by Lemma 3.1.3 unless $v = 0$. But in the case where $v = 0$, we see that the sum over d will vanish, so the total sum continues to vanish. \blacksquare

We now synthesize our cases to evaluate our Gauss sums.

Theorem 3.3.4. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and some $T \in \text{Sym}_n^\times$. Let $\chi: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote the quadratic character.*

(a) *Suppose $\psi \neq 1$.*

- *If $n = 2m$ is a positive even integer, then*

$$g_{2m}(\omega, \psi, T) = \frac{\chi(-1)^m \chi(\det T) q^{m^2}}{\omega(4^m \det T)} \cdot g(\omega^2, \psi)^m.$$

- *If $n = 2m + 1$ is an odd nonnegative integer, then*

$$g_{2m+1}(\omega, \psi, T) = \frac{q^{m(m+1)}}{\omega(4^m \det T)} \cdot g(\omega, \psi) g(\omega^2, \psi)^m.$$

(b) *Suppose $\psi = 1$ and $\omega = \chi$. If $n = 2m$ is even, then*

$$g_{2m}(\chi, 1, T) = \chi(-1)^m q^{m^2} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (q^{2k+1} - 1).$$

(c) *Suppose $\psi = 1$ and $\omega = 1$.*

- *If $n = 2m$ is even, then*

$$g_{2m}(1, 1, T) = q^{m^2+m} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (q^{2k+1} - 1).$$

- *If $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, then*

$$g_{2m+1}(1, 1, T) = q^{m^2+m} \prod_{k=0}^m (q^{2k+1} - 1).$$

For any (ψ, ω) not in the above list, the sum vanishes.

Proof. Note the last sentence follows by Lemma 3.3.1. Additionally, we may quickly handle (b) and (c), where $\psi = 1$ because these results are merely combinatorics: (c) is counting invertible symmetric matrices, so the result is [HJ20, Theorem 7.5.2]. Similarly, (b) is counting the difference between invertible symmetric matrices with square and non-square determinant, which is computed in [Mac69, p. 163].

It remains to prove (a). If $n = 1$, then there is not much to do, so we are allowed to induct. We quickly reduce to the case where T is diagonal. By choosing an orthogonal basis for the symmetric bilinear form given by T , we receive some $g \in \text{GL}_n$ such that $D := gTg^\top$ is diagonal. As such, Lemma 3.3.1 yields

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, T) = \omega(\det g)^2 g_n(\omega, \psi, D).$$

Now, suppose we have proven the theorem for diagonal matrices. In this case, we see $g_n(\omega, \psi, D) = (\det D)^{-1} g_n(\omega, \psi, 1)$, so $\det D = (\det g)^2 (\det T)$ implies that

$$g_n(\omega, \psi, T) = (\det T)^{-1} g_n(\omega, \psi, 1),$$

which is the theorem for T , as desired.

Thus, we may assume that $T_n := \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$; set $T_{n-1} := \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$ and define T_{n-2} analogously. We now induct on n in cases.

- Suppose that $n = 2m$ is an even positive integer. In this case, Lemmas 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 and induction show $g_{2m}(\omega, \psi, T)$ equals

$$\frac{\chi(\det T) q^{(m-1)m}}{\omega(4^{m-1} \det T)} \cdot g(\omega, \psi) g(\omega^2, \psi)^{m-1} g(\omega\chi, \psi) g(\chi, \psi)^{2m-1}.$$

We now recall $g(\chi, \psi)^2 = \chi(-1)q$ by Proposition 3.1.1 and $\omega(4)g(\omega, \psi)g(\omega\chi, \psi) = g(\omega^2, \psi)g(\chi, \psi)$ by Proposition 3.1.2, so rearrangement completes the proof.

- Suppose $n = 2m + 1$ is an odd positive integer with $m \geq 1$. In this case, Lemmas 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 and induction show $g_{2m+1}(\omega, \psi, T)$ equals

$$\frac{\chi(-1)^m q^{m^2}}{\omega(4^m \det T)} \cdot g(\omega^2, \psi)^m g(\omega, \psi) g(\chi, \psi)^{2m}.$$

We are now done after recalling $g(\chi, \psi)^2 = \chi(-1)q$ by Proposition 3.1.1 and rearranging. ■

Remark 3.3.5. *It is possible to prove (b) and (c) above using the same inductive method which proves (a). One merely needs to track the cases with $\psi = 1$ through Lemmas 3.3.2 and 3.3.3.*

We conclude this subsection with a combinatorial application.

Corollary 3.3.6. *Let n be a nonnegative integer, and fix some $T \in \text{Sym}_n^\times$. Further, fix $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$.*

- (a) *Suppose that $n = 2m + 1$ is odd. Then the number $N(d, t)$ of $A \in \text{Sym}_{2m+1}^\times$ such that $\det A = d$ and $\text{tr } AT = t$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{m^2+m}}{q(q-1)} \left(\prod_{k=0}^m (q^{2k+1} - 1) - (q-1)^{m+1} \right) \\ & + q^{m^2+m} \# \left\{ (y_0, \dots, y_m) : y_0 + \dots + y_m = t, \frac{y_0(y_1 \cdots y_m)^2}{4^m \det T} = d \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) *Suppose that $n = 2m$ is even. Let $\chi: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote the nontrivial quadratic character. Then the number $N(d, t)$ of $A \in \text{Sym}_{2m}^\times$ such that $\det A = d$ and $\text{tr } AT = t$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{m^2}}{q(q-1)} \left((q^m + \chi(-1)^m \chi(d)) \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (q^{2k+1} - 1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \chi(-1)^m (\chi(d) + \chi(\det T)) (q-1)^m \right) \\ & + \chi((-1)^m \det T) q^{m^2} \# \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_m) : y_1 + \dots + y_m = t, \frac{(y_1 \cdots y_m)^2}{4^m \det T} = d \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove these separately.

- (a) For any characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we claim that $g_n(\omega, \psi, T)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{g_n(1, 1, T) - q^{m(m+1)} (q-1)^{m+1}}{q(q-1)} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \omega(a) \psi(b) \\ & + \frac{q^{m(m+1)}}{\omega(4^m \det T)} \cdot g(\omega, \psi) g(\omega^2, \psi)^m \end{aligned}$$

This is by casework, using Theorem 3.3.4 repeatedly. If ψ is nontrivial, the sum vanishes, so the claim follows from Theorem 3.3.4. If ψ is trivial and ω is nontrivial, then everything vanishes. Lastly, if both ψ and ω are trivial, then everything equals $g_n(1, 1, T)$.

Now, we notice that fully expanding $g(\omega, \psi)g(\omega^2, \psi)^m$ gives

$$\sum_{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega(y_0(y_1 \cdots y_m)^2) \psi(y_0 + \cdots + y_m),$$

so we achieve the result by summing appropriately over all ω and ψ and using the formula for $g_n(1, 1, T)$ given in Theorem 3.3.4.

(b) For any characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we claim that $g_n(\omega, \psi, T)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\chi(-1)^m \chi(\det T) q^{m^2}}{\omega(4^m \det T)} \cdot g(\omega^2, \psi)^m \\ & + \frac{g_n(\chi, 1, T) - \chi(-1)^m q^{m^2} (q-1)^m}{q(q-1)} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(a) \omega(a) \psi(b) \\ & + \frac{g_n(1, 1, T) - \chi((-1)^m \det T) q^{m^2} (q-1)^m}{q(q-1)} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \omega(a) \psi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Again, this is by casework, repeatedly using Theorem 3.3.4. If ψ is nontrivial, this is Theorem 3.3.4. Otherwise, ψ is trivial. Then if $\omega^2 \neq 1$, then $\omega \notin \{1, \chi\}$, so everything vanishes. Lastly, if $\omega \in \{1, \chi\}$, then both sides are equal by construction.

The rest of the proof proceeds as in (a) by expanding out $g(\omega^2, \psi)^m$ and summing over ω and ψ appropriately. \blacksquare

3.4. The Sum Over Alt_{2n}^\times . For the purposes of this subsection, we define

$$g_{2n}(\omega, \psi, T) := \sum_{A \in \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr } AT)$$

where $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ are characters, and $T \in \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times$. Additionally, throughout we let $J := \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix}$, which is the 2×2 version of the matrix defined in Section 2.

We would like to follow the outline established for GL_n and row-reduce, but something must change because $A \in \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times$ has $A_{2n, 2n} = 0$. Instead, our row-reduction will be based on subdividing A into 2×2 minors. As such, our casework is based on $A_{2n, 2n-1} = -A_{2n-1, 2n}$. Otherwise, our outline is the same.

Lemma 3.4.1. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and some $T \in \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times$.*

(a) *For any $g \in \text{GL}_n$, we have*

$$g_{2n}(\omega, \psi, gTg^\top) = \omega(\det g)^{-2} g_{2n}(\omega, \psi, T).$$

(b) *If $\psi = 1$, then $g_{2n}(\omega, \psi, T) = 0$ unless $\omega^2 = 1$.*

Proof. Here, (a) follows after some rearrangement using the action of GL_n on Alt_n^\times by $g \cdot A := gAg^\top$. For (b), we use this same rearrangement to show $g_{2n}(\omega, 1, T) = \omega(\det g)^2 g_{2n}(\omega, 1, T)$ for any $g \in \text{GL}_n$, so the result follows. \blacksquare

We now handle $A_{2n, 2n-1} \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.4.2. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, and set $T_{2i} := \text{diag}(J, \dots, J) \in \text{Alt}_{2i}^\times$ for each i . Then if $\psi \neq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \text{Alt}_{2n+2}^\times \\ A_{2n, 2n-1} \neq 0}} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr } AT_{2n+2}) = q^{2n} g(\omega^2, \psi^2) g_{2n}(\omega, \psi, T_{2n}).$$

Proof. The point is that the bottom-right 2×2 minor of our $A \in \text{Alt}_{2n+2}^\times$ is invertible. Thus, the main point is that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^{2n \times 2} \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \text{Alt}_{2n+2}^\times \\ & (B \quad , \quad V \quad , \quad c) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1_{2n} & V \\ & 1_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & \\ & cJ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{2n} \\ V^\top & 1_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection onto $A \in \text{Alt}_{2n+2}^\times$ with $A_{2n,2n-1} \neq 0$. Indeed, letting $V = \begin{bmatrix} v & w \end{bmatrix}$, we find our sum is

$$\sum_B \omega(\det B) \psi(\text{tr} B T_{2n}) \\ \times \sum_{c,v,w} \omega(c^2) \psi(-2c) \psi(\text{tr}(c w v^\top - c v w^\top) T_{2n}).$$

With $\psi \neq 1$, we quickly compute $-\text{tr} v w^\top T_{2n} = \text{tr} w v^\top T_{2n}$, so the sum over v and w is

$$\sum_{v,w \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}} \psi(-2c \text{tr} v w^\top T_{2n}).$$

Fixing v and summing over w , Lemma 3.1.3 tells us that we only get a nonzero contribution when $v = 0$, where we see the sum will evaluate to q^{2n} . In this case, the desired sum in compresses down to $q^{2n} g(\omega^2, \psi^2) g_{2n}(\omega, \psi, T_{2n})$, as required. \blacksquare

Next, we handle $A_{2n-1,2n} = 0$.

Lemma 3.4.3. *Take $n \geq 1$. Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, and set $T_{2i} := \text{diag}(J, \dots, J) \in \text{Alt}_{2i}^\times$ for each i . Choosing some nonzero vector $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n+2}$ such that $\bar{v}_{2n+1} = \bar{v}_{2n+2} = 0$, if $\psi \neq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \text{Alt}_{2n+2}^\times \\ A e_{n+2} = \bar{v}}} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr} A T_{2n+2}) = 0.$$

Proof. This argument is similar to Lemma 3.2.3, but we are more careful with the permutation matrix. We would like to reduce to a case where $\bar{v}_{2n+1} \neq 0$ by adjusting T appropriately. Because \bar{v} is nonzero, we may find an index $i_0 \notin \{2n+1, 2n+2\}$ such that \bar{v}_{i_0} is nonzero. By mapping $A \mapsto \sigma A \sigma$ where σ is the permutation matrix associated to some permutation of the form $(2i, 2j)(2i+1, 2j+1)$, we see that the sum will not change (because $\det \sigma A \sigma = \det A$ and $\sigma T_{2n+2} \sigma = T_{2n+2}$); thus, we may apply such a permutation to assume that $i_0 \in \{2n-1, 2n\}$. We now set $\sigma := (i_0, 2n+1)$ and apply $A \mapsto \sigma A \sigma$ to our sum, which does adjust \bar{v} (so that $\bar{v}_{2n+1} \neq 0$) as well as make our sum change T_{2n+2} to the matrix $\sigma T_{2n+2} \sigma$, which is in

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} T_{2n-2} & & & & \\ & & & & -1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & -1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} T_{2n-2} & & & & \\ & & & & -1 \\ & & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

(The left happens when $i_0 = 2n-1$, and the right happens when $i_0 = 2n$.) With our now adjusted \bar{v} , we write $\bar{v} = (-cv, -c, 0)$ where $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and we note we want to compute

$$\sum_{\substack{A \in \text{Alt}_{2n+2}^\times \\ A e_{n+2} = \bar{v}}} \omega(\det A) \psi(\text{tr} A \sigma T_{2n+2} \sigma).$$

Now, the main point is that

$$\text{Alt}_{2n}^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \text{Alt}_{2n+2}^\times \\ (B, w) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1_{2n} & v & w \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & \\ & -c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{2n} & \\ v^\top & 1 \\ w^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a bijection onto $A \in \text{Alt}_{2n+2}^\times$ with $A e_{2n+2} = (-cv, -c, 0)$. Now, to find cancellation in our sum, we hold B constant and let w vary. In particular, after some rearrangement, we see that the sum in question contains the factor

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \psi \left(\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} w v^\top - c v w^\top & c w & -c v \\ -c w^\top & & -c \\ c v^\top & c & \end{bmatrix} \sigma T_{2n+2} \sigma \right),$$

which we will show vanishes. In fact, we will look at a factor of this sum. Because $\sigma T_{2n+2} \sigma$ takes the form $\text{diag}(T_{2n-2}, T')$ for some $T' \in \text{Alt}_4^\times$ described above, we see that the sum above contains the factor

$$\sum_{w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}} \psi \left(\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} & * & cw_{2n-1} & -cv_{2n-1} \\ & * & cw_{2n} & -cv_{2n} \\ -cw_{2n-1} & -cw_{2n} & & * \\ cv_{2n-1} & cv_{2n} & * & \end{bmatrix} T' \right)$$

by using the bottom-right 4×4 minors of our matrices; here *s denote terms which do not matter. Now, if $i_0 = 2n - 1$, then one finds that the sum over w_{2n} vanishes; and if $i_0 = 2n$, then one finds that the sum over w_{2n-1} vanishes. \blacksquare

We now synthesize our cases.

Theorem 3.4.4. *Fix characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and some $T \in \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times$.*

(a) *Suppose $\psi \neq 1$. Then*

$$g_{2n}(\omega, \psi, T) = \frac{q^{n(n-1)}}{\omega(\det T)} \cdot g(\omega^2, \psi^2)^n.$$

(b) *Suppose $\psi = 1$ and $\omega^2 = 1$. Then*

$$g_{2n}(\omega, 1, T) = q^{n(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n (q^{2i-1} - 1).$$

For any (ψ, ω) not in the above list, the sum vanishes.

Proof. Note the last sentence follows from Lemma 3.4.1. We also quickly note that (b) is combinatorics: because any $A \in \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times$ can be written as $g \text{diag}(J, \dots, J) g^\top$ for some $g \in \text{GL}_n$ (by finding a symplectic basis for A), we see that $(\det A)^2 = 1$ always, so (b) is simply counting the number of invertible $2n \times 2n$ alternating matrices. Thus, (b) follows from [HJ20, Theorem 7.5.5].

It remains to show (a). We note we may reduce to the case where $T = \text{diag}(J, \dots, J)$ using Lemma 3.4.1. Now, for $n \in \{0, 1\}$, there is not much to say, so we may induct. The induction now follows from summing Lemmas 3.4.2 and 3.4.3. \blacksquare

Remark 3.4.5. *As usual, we remark that one can track the cases with $\psi = 1$ through Lemmas 3.4.2 and 3.4.3 to prove (b) via the same method as (a).*

Here is the corresponding combinatorial application.

Corollary 3.4.6. *Fix some even nonnegative integer $2n$ and some $T \in \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times$. Further, fix $d \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then the number $N(d, t)$ of $A \in \text{Alt}_{2n}^\times$ such that $\det A = d$ and $\text{tr} AT = t$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{q(q-1)} \left(q^{n(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n (q^{2i-1} - 1) - q^{n(n-1)} (q-1)^n \right) \\ & + q^{n(n-1)} \# \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_n) : 2(y_1 + \dots + y_n) = t, \frac{(y_1 \cdots y_n)^2}{\det T} = d \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any characters $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we claim that $g_{2n}(\omega, \psi, T)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{q(q-1)} \left(g_{2n}(1, 1, T) - q^{n(n-1)} (q-1)^n \right) \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2, b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \omega^2(a) \psi(b) \\ & + \frac{q^{n(n-1)}}{\omega(\det T)} \cdot g(\omega^2, \psi^2)^n. \end{aligned}$$

This is the usual casework with Theorem 3.4.4: if $\psi \neq 1$, then the top row vanishes; if $\psi = 1$ and $\omega^2 \neq 1$, then everything vanishes; and if $\psi = 1$ and $\omega^2 = 1$, then this holds by construction.

The result now follows by a direct expansion of $g(\omega^2, \psi^2)$ as

$$\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n} \omega((y_1 \cdots y_n)^2) \psi(2(y_1 + \dots + y_n)),$$

and then summing over ω and ψ appropriately. \blacksquare

4. q -COMBINATORIAL INPUTS

In this section, we discuss the eigenvalues of some antitriangular matrices. Essentially the only method in the literature to access the eigenvalues of an antitriangular matrix is to do some educated guessing in order to make the give matrix upper-triangular. See [BW22] for a thorough discussion of a special case; the work in this subsection can be seen as a q -analogue for some of their results. In Sections 4.1 and 4.3, we discuss some (purely!) combinatorial inputs into our main results. Notably, these subsections will not use the notation of Section 2, and q will be treated as a free variable.

4.1. A Couple q -Identities. In this quick subsection, we pick up a couple q -identities which will be useful in the sequel. Throughout, we freely use the packages `qZeil` and `qMultiSum` developed by Axel Riese; see [Rie97; Rie03] for a description of these packages.

The following identity is used for the linear groups.

Proposition 4.1.1. *For any nonnegative integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{-m^2+mn} \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i q^{\frac{1}{2}i(i-1)-ni} \frac{(q; q)_m^2}{(q; q)_i (q; q)_{m-i}^2} \\ &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} (-1)^i q^{\frac{1}{2}i(i-1)-mi} \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_i (q; q)_j (q; q)_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let the left-hand side be $L_{m,n}(q)$ and the right-hand side be $R_{m,n}(q)$ so that we want to show that $L_{m,n}(q) = R_{m,n}(q)$. We will show that $L_{m,n}(q)$ and $R_{m,n}(q)$ satisfy the same recurrence in n and then check that $L_{m,n}(q) = R_{m,n}(q)$ for some small n . With this outline in mind, we have the following steps.

- (1) After some rearranging, `qMultiSum` shows that $n \geq 0$ makes

$$R_{m,n+2}(q) + (q^{1+n-m} - 2) R_{m,n+1}(q) - (q^{1+n} - 1) R_{m,n}(q)$$

vanish. We would like to show that $L_{m,n}(q)$ satisfies the same recurrence in n . Well, define $\tilde{L}_{m,n}(q)$ to be

$$L_{m,n+2}(q) + (q^{1+n-m} - 2) L_{m,n+1}(q) - (q^{1+n} - 1) L_{m,n}(q),$$

which we would like to vanish. Well, `qZeil` is able to show that $q^{m^2-mn} \tilde{L}_{m,n}(q)$ vanishes after some rearranging.

- (2) It remains to check that $L_{m,n}(q) = R_{m,n}(q)$ for $n \in \{0, 1\}$. For $n = 0$, `qZeil` shows $L_{m,0}(q) = 1$, which agrees with $R_{m,0}(q)$. For $n = 1$, `qZeil` shows

$$L_{m,1}(q) = \frac{2q^m - 1}{2q^m - q} L_{m-1,1}(q)$$

for $m \geq 1$ and checks that $R_{m,1}(q)$ satisfies the same recurrence in m . So we complete the proof upon computing $L_{1,0}(q) = R_{1,0}(q) = 1$. ■

The following identity is used for the symplectic and orthogonal groups.

Proposition 4.1.2. *For any nonnegative integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\frac{-m^2+m}{2}+mn} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i q^{i(i-1)-2in} \frac{(q; q)_m}{(q^2; q^2)_i (q; q)_{m-2i}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j q^{j(j-m)} \frac{(q^2; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_j (q; q)_{n-j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let the left-hand side be $L_{m,n}(q)$, and let the right-hand side by $R_{m,n}(q)$. The proof is essentially the same as in Proposition 4.1.1: we will show that $L_{m,n}(q)$ and $R_{m,n}(q)$ satisfy the same recurrence in n and then check that $L_{m,n}(q) = R_{m,n}(q)$ for some small n .

- (1) The package `qZeil` shows that $n \geq 2$ has

$$R_{m,n}(q) + \frac{(q^{2n} - q^{m+1} - q^{m+2})}{q^{m+1}} R_{m,n-1}(q) + q(1 - q^{2n-2}) R_{m,n-2}(q)$$

vanishes. As before, we define $\tilde{L}_{m,n}(q)$ as the same expression above but replacing R s with L s, and we would like to show that $\tilde{L}_{m,n}(q)$ vanishes. Well, \mathfrak{qZeil} is able to show this after a little rearrangement.

- (2) It remains to check that $L_{m,n}(q) = R_{m,n}(q)$ for $n \in \{0, 1\}$. For $n = 0$, \mathfrak{qZeil} shows that $L_{m,0}(q) = 1$, which agrees with $R_{m,0}(q)$. For $n = 1$, \mathfrak{qZeil} shows that

$$L_{m,1}(q) = \frac{q - q^m - q^{m+1}}{q^2 - q^m - q^{m+1}} L_{m-1,1}(q)$$

and checks that $R_{m,1}(q)$ satisfies the same recurrence. Thus, it is enough to check that $L_{0,1}(q) = R_{0,1}(q) = 1$. \blacksquare

4.2. Eigenvalues for Linear Groups. We continue with the notation of Section 2 with $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\}$. In this subsection, we will compute the eigenvalues of the intertwining operator when $\beta = 1$; if $\beta^2 = 1$ while $\beta \neq 1$, then the eigenvalues are straightforward to compute from Proposition 2.4.9.

For expositional reasons, we begin by computing the eigenvalues of a certain helper matrix.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Fix a positive integer n . For indices $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i + j - n \geq 0$, define*

$$\varepsilon_A(i, j) := (-1)^{i+j-n},$$

and

$$Q_A(i, j) := q^{\binom{i+j-n+1}{2} - (i+1)^2},$$

and

$$R_A(i, j) := \frac{(q; q)_i^2}{(q; q)_{n-j}^2 (q; q)_{i+j-n}},$$

and define $R_A(i, j) = 0$ for other i and j . Then the matrix $A := [\varepsilon_A(i, j) Q_A(i, j) R_A(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues

$$\left\{ (-1)^{n-i} q^{\binom{i+1}{2} - \binom{n+2}{2}} : 0 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

Proof. This is essentially equivalent to Proposition 4.1.1. For indices $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with $j \geq i$, define

$$\varepsilon_B(i, j) := (-1)^i,$$

and

$$Q_B(i, j) := q^{-(n+1)(j+1) + \binom{i+1}{2}},$$

and

$$R_B(i, j) := \sum_{k=0}^{j-i} \frac{(q; q)_j}{(q; q)_i (q; q)_k (q; q)_{j-i-k}},$$

and define $R_B(i, j) = 0$ for other i and j . Then we claim that the matrix $B := [\varepsilon_B(i, j) Q_B(i, j) R_B(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is similar to A , which completes the proof upon reading off the diagonal entries of B .

It remains to show $A \sim B$. We will show $M^{-1}AM = B$, where M has entries

$$M_{ij} := q^{-(i+1)(j+1)}$$

for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Because M is invertible, we may merely check that $AM = MB$. Thus, for indices i and k , we want $(AM)_{ik} = (MB)_{ik}$. Because A_{ij} will vanish unless $i + j \geq n$, and B_{jk} will vanish unless $j \leq k$, we see we are asking for

$$\sum_{j=0}^i A_{i, n-i+j} M_{n-i+j, k} \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{j=0}^k M_{ij} B_{jk}.$$

Upon plugging in our definitions and simplifying, this reduces to Proposition 4.1.1. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.2.2. *Take $G \in \{\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \mathrm{SL}_{2n}\}$. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Suppose $\beta = 1$ so that $\chi = \chi^J$. Then the operator I on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues given by*

$$\left\{ (-1)^{n-i} q^{\binom{n}{2} + \binom{i+1}{2}} : 0 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

Proof. Identify I with its matrix representation given in Proposition 2.4.5. We apply Proposition 4.2.1 after conjugating I by the matrix T with entries

$$T_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i + j = n - 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } i + j = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Now, define A as in Proposition 4.2.1 with n as $n - 1$. Then we claim that

$$(4.1) \quad TIT^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} q^{n^2} \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma A \sigma & \\ (1, \dots, 1) & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where σ is the permutation sending $e_i \mapsto e_{n-1-i}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, and $(1, \dots, 1)$ is a row vector consisting of all 1s. Before proving the claim, we explain how it implies the theorem. Taking the eigenvalues of Proposition 4.2.1 (and some simplification) provides the needed eigenvalues; diagonalizability follows because all eigenvalues are distinct.

It remains to show (4.1). It's enough to show $TI = q^{n^2} \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma A \sigma & \\ (1, \dots, 1) & 1 \end{bmatrix} T$ because T is invertible. Choosing some indices i and k , we see that we want to show that the (i, k) entries are equal, which amounts to checking

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{n-i, k} - 1_{i < n} I_{n-i-1, k} \\ &= q^{n^2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -\sigma A \sigma & \\ (1, \dots, 1) & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{i, n-k} - 1_{k < n} \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma A \sigma & \\ (1, \dots, 1) & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{i, n-k-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

after expanding out the definition of T . We verify this by rather tedious casework on i and k . Denote the left-hand side by L and the right-hand side by R .

- Suppose $i = k = n$. Then the definition of I yields $L = q^{n^2}$, and we find $R = q^{n^2}$ as well.
- Suppose $i = n$ but $k < n$. Then we see $L = 0$, and one can check that $R = q^{n^2}(1 - 1) = 0$.
- Suppose $i < n$ but $k = n$. Then L and R equal

$$(-1)^{n-i} q^{n^2 - \binom{n-i+1}{2}} (q; q)_{n-i-1}.$$

- Suppose $k < i < n$. Then $(n-i) + k < n$ and $(n-1-i) + (n-1-(n-k-1)) < n-1$, so all coefficients vanish.
- Suppose $i = k < n$. Then $L = q^{n^2 - (n-i)^2} = R$.
- Suppose $i < k < n$. Then L and R equal

$$(-1)^{k-i} q^{n^2 - (n-i)^2 + \binom{k-i}{2}} \frac{(q; q)_{n-i-1}^2}{(q; q)_{n-k}^2 (q; q)_{k-i}} (1 - 2q^{n-i} + q^{2n-i-k}).$$

The above casework completes the proof. ■

4.3. A Helper Matrix. For this subsection, q will return to being a free variable. Akin to Proposition 4.2.1, we describe a general helper matrix which shows up in the upper-triangularization for the groups $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$, so we handle it here. For some fixed nonnegative integer n and sign $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we select indices $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $i + j - n$ is an even nonnegative integer and define

$$\varepsilon_A(i, j) := \varepsilon^{\frac{i-j-n}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{i+j-n}{2}}$$

and

$$Q_A(i, j) := q^{-\binom{i+a}{2} + \frac{i+j-n}{2} \left(\frac{i+j-n}{2} + a - 1 \right)}$$

and

$$R_A(i, j) := \frac{(q; q)_i}{(q^2; q^2)_{(i+j-n)/2} (q; q)_{n-j}},$$

and define $R_A(i, j) = 0$ for other indices i and j . Then set $A := [\varepsilon_A(i, j) Q_A(i, j) R_A(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$. This $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix will be used in approximately the same way we used Proposition 4.2.1. In particular, we want to understand its eigenvalues.

We now compute the eigenvalues of A when n is even.

Proposition 4.3.1. *Define n, ε, a , and A as above. If $n = 2m$, then the antitriangular matrix $[A(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues*

$$\left\{ \varepsilon^m (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} q^{-\binom{a+m}{2} - \binom{m+1}{2} + (m - \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor)^2} : 0 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

Proof. We follow Proposition 4.2.1. For indices $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $j - i$ is a nonnegative even integer, define

$$\varepsilon_B(i, j) := \varepsilon^m (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor}$$

and

$$Q_B(i, j) := q^{-\binom{a+m}{2} - \binom{m+1}{2} + (m - \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor)^2 - \frac{j-i}{2}(2m-i+2\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor)}$$

and

$$R_B(i, j) := \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{(q^2; q^2)_{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} (q; q)_{(j-i)/2}},$$

and define $R_B(i, j) = 0$ for other indices i and j . Then we claim that A is similar to the matrix $B := [\varepsilon_B(i, j) Q_B(i, j) R_B(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$, which will complete the proof upon reading off the diagonal entries of B . Notably, even though some eigenvalues are equal, B splits into a direct sum of operators on the even basis vectors and on the odd basis vectors, and the operators on these subspaces have distinct eigenvalues.

It remains to show the claim. We will show $M^{-1}AM = B$, where M is defined by

$$M_{ij} := \varepsilon^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor(2\lfloor j/2 \rfloor + a)}$$

for indices i and j such that $i \equiv j \pmod{2}$ and zero elsewhere. Because M is invertible, it is enough to show $AM = MB$. Thus, for indices i and k , we want to show that $(AM)_{ik} = (MB)_{ik}$. If i and k fail to have the same parity, then we note $(AM)_{ik} = (MB)_{ik} = 0$ because A, M , and B all send even (and odd) basis vectors to linear combinations of even (and odd) basis vectors. Thus, we may assume that $i \equiv k \pmod{2}$. Now, we are left to verify the identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^n A_{ij} M_{jk} \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{j=0}^n M_{ij} B_{jk}.$$

Note A_{ij} will vanish unless $i + j - n$ is a nonnegative even integer, and B_{jk} will vanish unless $k - j$ is a nonnegative even integer, so we go ahead and re-index the sums so that we want to show

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} A_{i, n-i+2j} M_{n-i+2j, k} \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} M_{i, k-2\lfloor k/2 \rfloor+2j} B_{k-2\lfloor k/2 \rfloor+2j, k}.$$

Upon plugging in our definitions and simplifying, this reduces to Proposition 4.1.2. ■

One can upgrade the eigenvalue computations in the even case to the odd case as follows.

Proposition 4.3.2. *Define n, ε, a , and A as above. If n is odd with $n = 2m + 1$, then the antitriangular matrix $[A(i, j)]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues*

$$\left\{ \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon} q^{-\frac{a}{2} - \binom{a+m}{2} - \binom{m+1}{2} + (m-i)^2 - i} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

Proof. Fixing ε and a but letting n vary, denote the defined $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix by A_n . We are interested in the eigenvalues of A_{2m+1} for some $m \geq 0$. For some $m \geq 0$, note that A_{2m} sends even (and odd) basis vectors to a linear combination of even (and odd) basis vectors, so we let A_{2m}^+ (and A_{2m}^-) denote the submatrices of A_{2m} consisting of the even (and odd) columns and rows (respectively). Thus, by rearranging the rows and columns of A_{2m} , we see that A_{2m} is similar to

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{2m}^+ & \\ & A_{2m}^- \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we see that A_{2m+1} sends even (and odd) basis vectors to a linear combination of odd (and even) basis vectors. Defining A_{2m+1}^+ (and A_{2m+1}^-) to be the submatrix consisting of the even (odd) columns and odd (even) rows, we thus see that A_{2m+1} is similar to

$$\begin{bmatrix} & A_{2m+1}^- \\ A_{2m+1}^+ & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, for each n , we note that A_n is a submatrix of εA_{n+1} , so keeping track of parities reveals that $A_n^+ = \varepsilon A_{n+1}^-$. Thus, A_{2m+1} is similar to the antitriangular matrix

$$\varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} & A_{2m}^+ \\ A_{2m+2}^- & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proof of Proposition 4.3.1 explains that A_{2m}^+ is similar to an upper-triangular matrix with diagonal entries

$$\left\{ \varepsilon^{m+1} (-1)^i q^{-\binom{a+m}{2} - \binom{m+1}{2} + (m-i)^2} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\},$$

and A_{2m+2}^- is similar to an upper-triangular matrix with diagonal entries

$$\left\{ \varepsilon^m (-1)^i q^{-\binom{a+m+1}{2} - \binom{m+2}{2} + (m+1-i)^2} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}$$

where we conjugate by the same $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ matrix! Thus, viewing A_{2m+1} as an $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ matrix with entries that are 2×2 (block) matrices, we see that A_{2m+1} is similar to a (block) upper-triangular matrix with diagonal entries

$$\left\{ \varepsilon^{m+1} (-1)^i q^{-\binom{a+m}{2} - \binom{m+1}{2} + (m-i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon q^{-a-2i} & 1 \end{bmatrix} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

The result follows from diagonalizing these 2×2 matrices and noting that all the eigenvalues are distinct. \blacksquare

4.4. Eigenvalues for Orthogonal Groups. We continue with the notation of Section 2, taking $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}$. We (essentially) begin with the case $\beta = 1$.

Theorem 4.4.1. *Take $G \in \{\mathrm{GO}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_{2n}\}$. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Suppose either that $\beta = 1$, or $\beta^2 = 1$ for $G = \mathrm{O}_{2n}$.*

(a) *If $n = 2m$ is even, then the intertwining operator I on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues given by*

$$\left\{ (-1)^{m - \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} q^{m(m-1) + \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor^2} : 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \right\}.$$

(b) *If $n = 2m+1$ is odd, then the intertwining operator I on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues given by*

$$\left\{ \pm q^{m^2 + i(i+1)} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

Proof. The assumptions imply that the intertwining operator I has a uniform matrix representation given in Propositions 2.4.5 and 2.4.9. Now, we define A as in Section 4.3 with $(n, \varepsilon, a) = (n, 1, 0)$ so that $q^{\binom{n}{2}} A$ is the matrix representation of I . The result now follows from combining Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 and simplifying the eigenvalues. \blacksquare

It remains to cover the case where $\beta^2 = 1$ but $\beta \neq 1$ when $G = \mathrm{GO}_{2n}$. This will follow by submatrix considerations via Lemma 2.4.6.

Theorem 4.4.2. *Take $G = \mathrm{GO}_{2n}$. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Assume that $\beta^2 = 1$ but $\beta \neq 1$.*

(a) *If $n = 2m$ is even, then the intertwining operator $I \circ I$ on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues*

$$\left\{ q^{2m(m-1) + 2i^2} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

(b) *If $n = 2m+1$ is odd, then the intertwining operator $I \circ I$ on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues*

$$\left\{ q^{2m^2 + 2i(i+1)} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

Proof. We combine the computations of Theorem 4.4.1 with Lemma 2.4.6. Let I^0 be the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix representation of the corresponding operator for O_{2n} , and let I^+ and I^- be the submatrices of I^0 given in Lemma 2.4.6 which are the matrix representations of I on $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^x \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^x$ and $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi^J)^x \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)^x$, respectively. We must compute the eigenvalues of $I^- \circ I^+$. We now handle the even and odd cases separately.

- (a) If $n = 2m$ is even, then I^+ and I^- are both the submatrix of I^0 consisting of the even rows and columns. Tracking through the proof of Theorem 4.5.2 (and notably its input Proposition 4.3.1), we will show that I^+ and I^- are both diagonalizable with eigenvalues

$$\left\{ (-1)^i q^{m(m-1)+(m-i)^2} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\},$$

which completes the proof upon squaring our eigenvalues. Indeed, defining A as in Theorem 4.4.1, we see that $I^+ = I^-$ is a submatrix of $q^{\binom{n}{2}}A$, and the upper-triangularization of A given in Proposition 4.3.1 restricts to an upper-triangularization of I^+ and I^- . In particular, we can read off the eigenvalues by taking the correct entries from (a) of Theorem 4.4.1 (or equivalently, Proposition 4.3.1).

- (b) If $n = 2m+1$ is odd, then I^+ is the submatrix of I^0 consisting of the even columns and odd rows, and I^- is the submatrix of I^0 consisting of the odd columns and even rows. Arguing as above, we define A as in Theorem 4.4.1 so that we see that I^0 is similar to $q^{\binom{n}{2}}A$, which in turn Proposition 4.3.2 explains is similar to the block diagonal matrix with diagonal given by the 2×2 matrices

$$\left\{ (-1)^i q^{\binom{2m+1}{2} - \binom{m}{2} - \binom{m+1}{2} + (m-i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ q^{-2i} & \end{bmatrix} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

All stated similarities preserve the even and odd subspaces, so we see that the matrices I^+ and I^- will be similar to the diagonal matrices achieved by reading off the diagonals in the block diagonal matrix described above. As such, computing the composite $I^- \circ I^+$ tells us that the eigenvalues are

$$\left\{ q^{2m^2+2i(i+1)} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}$$

after a little simplification. ■

4.5. Eigenvalues for Symplectic Groups. We continue with the notation of Section 2, taking $G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. We begin with the case $\beta = 1$.

Theorem 4.5.1. *Take $G \in \{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}\}$. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Assume that $\beta = 1$ so that $\chi = \chi^J$.*

- (a) *If $n = 2m$ is even, then the intertwining operator I on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues given by*

$$\left\{ \pm q^{m^2+i(i+1)} : 0 \leq i \leq m-1 \right\} \sqcup \left\{ q^{\binom{2m+1}{2}} \right\}.$$

- (b) *If $n = 2m+1$ is odd, then the intertwining operator I on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues given by*

$$\left\{ -(-1)^{m-\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} q^{m(m+1)+\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor^2} : 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \right\}.$$

Proof. This argument is roughly the same as Theorem 4.2.2 upon replacing the computations of Proposition 4.2.1 with Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2. Identify I with its matrix representation. We will apply Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 after conjugating I by the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix T defined by

$$T_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i+j = n-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } i+j = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Now, define A as in Section 4.3 with $(n, \varepsilon, a) = (n-1, 1, 2)$. Then we claim that

$$(4.2) \quad TIT^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma A \sigma & \\ (1, \dots, 1) & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where σ is the permutation matrix sending $e_i \mapsto e_{n-1-i}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, and $(1, \dots, 1)$ is a row vector consisting of all 1s. Before proving the claim, we explain how it implies the result. Using the eigenvalues of Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 (and some simplification) checks that the eigenvalues in the theorem are correct. Because A is diagonalizable and does not have 1 as an eigenvalue, diagonalizability follows.

It remains to show (4.2). It is enough to check $TI = q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma A \sigma & \\ (1, \dots, 1) & 1 \end{bmatrix} T$ because T is invertible, which one can do using the same sort of tedious casework engaged in Theorem 4.2.2. We will not write out the results for brevity. ■

We now move on to the case where $\beta^2 = 1$ but $\beta \neq 1$. Let's start with Sp_{2n} .

Theorem 4.5.2. *Take $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = \beta \circ \chi_{\det}$. Suppose $\beta^2 = 1$ but $\beta \neq 1$ so that $\chi = \chi^J$.*

(a) *If $n = 2m$ is even, then the intertwining operator I on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues*

$$\left\{ \beta(-1)^m (-1)^{m - \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} q^{m^2 + \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor^2} : 0 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

(b) *If $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, then the intertwining operator I on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues*

$$\left\{ \pm \sqrt{\beta(-1)} q^{m(m+1) + i(i+1) + \frac{1}{2}} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

Proof. Define A as in Section 4.3, with $(n, \varepsilon, a) = (n, \beta(-1), 1)$. A little algebra shows that the matrix representation of I is the matrix $q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} A$. The result now follows by plugging into the eigenvalue computations of Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 and simplifying. ■

As in Theorem 4.4.2, we now use submatrix arguments to compute the eigenvalues for GSp_{2n} .

Theorem 4.5.3. *Take $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$. Fix a character $\chi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, which we write as $\chi = (\alpha \circ m)(\beta \circ \chi_{\det})$. Suppose that $\beta^2 = 1$ but $\beta \neq 1$.*

(a) *If $n = 2m$ is even, then the intertwining operator $I \circ I$ on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues*

$$\left\{ q^{2m^2 + 2i^2} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

(b) *If $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, then the intertwining operator $I \circ I$ on $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ is diagonalizable and has eigenvalues*

$$\left\{ \beta(-1) q^{2m(m+1) + 2i(i+1) + 1} : 0 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$

Proof. The argument is exactly the same as Theorem 4.4.2 upon replacing the computations of Theorem 4.4.1 with Theorem 4.5.2, so we will not write it out. ■

REFERENCES

- [Mac69] Jessie MacWilliams. “Orthogonal Matrices Over Finite Fields”. In: *The American Mathematical Monthly* 76.2 (1969), pp. 152–164. ISSN: 00029890, 19300972. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2317262> (visited on 07/30/2023).
- [PR87] I. Piatetski-Shapiro and S. Rallis. “L-functions for the classical groups”. In: *Explicit Constructions of Automorphic L-Functions*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1987, pp. 1–52. ISBN: 978-3-540-47880-5. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0078126. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0078126>.
- [Sai91] Hiroshi Saito. “A generalization of Gauss sums and its applications to Siegel modular forms and L-functions associated with the vector space of quadratic forms”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 416 (1991), pp. 91–142. ISSN: 0075-4102. DOI: 10.1515/crll.1991.416.91. URL: <https://doi-org.libproxy.berkeley.edu/10.1515/crll.1991.416.91>.
- [Cha96] Jun Chang. “Zeta Functions Attached to Irreducible Representations of Classical Groups over Finite Fields”. PhD thesis. Clark University, 1996.
- [Kim97] Dae San Kim. “Gauss sums for general and special linear groups over a finite field”. In: *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 69.4 (1997), pp. 297–304. ISSN: 0003-889X. DOI: 10.1007/s000130050124. URL: <https://doi-org.libproxy.berkeley.edu/10.1007/s000130050124>.
- [Rie97] Axel Riese. “Contributions to Symbolic q-Hypergeometric Summation”. PhD thesis. J. Kepler University, 1997.
- [Rie03] Axel Riese. “qMultiSum—a package for proving q-hypergeometric multiple summation identities”. In: *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 35.3 (2003), pp. 349–376. ISSN: 0747-7171. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0747-7171\(02\)00138-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0747-7171(02)00138-4). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0747717102001384>.
- [Kir08] Alexander Kirillov Jr. *An Introduction to Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2008.

- [Bum13] Daniel Bump. *Lie groups*. Second. Vol. 225. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2013, pp. xiv+551. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-8024-2. URL: <https://doi-org.libproxy.berkeley.edu/10.1007/978-1-4614-8024-2>.
- [Mil17] J. S. Milne. *Algebraic Groups: The Theory of Group Schemes of Finite Type over a Field*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [HJ20] Dirk Hachenberger and Dieter Jungnickel. *Topics in Galois fields*. Vol. 29. Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2020, pp. xiv+785. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-60806-4. URL: <https://doi-org.libproxy.berkeley.edu/10.1007/978-3-030-60806-4>.
- [BW22] John R. Britnell and Mark Wildon. “Involutive random walks on total orders and the anti-diagonal eigenvalue property”. In: *Linear Algebra Appl.* 641 (2022), pp. 1–47. ISSN: 0024-3795. DOI: 10.1016/j.laa.2022.01.018. URL: <https://doi-org.libproxy.berkeley.edu/10.1016/j.laa.2022.01.018>.